



Crl.O.P.No.9199 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 26.03.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE **G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN**

Crl.O.P.No.9199 of 2025

Ashokkumar

... Petitioner

Vs

State Rep. By

1. The District Superintendent of Police,
Office of The District Superintendent,
Namakkal District.

2. The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
District Crime Branch -II,
Office of The District Police,
Namakkal District.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 to direct the second respondent herein not to harass the petitioner under the guise of investigation.

For Petitioner : Mr.W.Camyles Gandhi

For Respondents : Mr.A.Gopinath
Government Advocate (Crl.side)



Crl.O.P.No.9199 of 2025

ORDER

WEB COPY

This petition has been filed seeking direction directing the Respondents not to Harass to the petitioner.

2. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the respondent police harassed the petitioner under the guise of enquiry.

3. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) appearing for the respondent police submits that on the complaint given by the defacto complainant against the petitioner, petition enquiry is pending in C.S.R.No.11 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

4. Heard the learned counsel appearing on either side and perused the materials placed on record.

5. It is the grievance of the petitioner that the respondent police have been harassing him under the guise of an enquiry/investigation and hence, has invoked the inherent powers of this Court under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.



Crl.O.P.No.9199 of 2025

WEB COPY

6. An enquiry into a non cognizable offence or a cognizable offence is the unfettered powers of the Investigation Officers so long as the power to investigate/enquiry into these offences are legitimately exercised within the frame work of Chapter XIII of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023. Though the Sanhita empowers the Magistrate to be a guardian in all the stages of the police investigation, there is no power envisaging him to interfere with the actual investigation or the mode of investigation. It is in this background that numerous petitions complaining of harassment are being reported and filed before this Court seeking for directions to refrain the police officials from harassing the persons named in a complaint.

7. This Court, exercising its power under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, normally would not interfere with the investigation conducted by a police officer. Nevertheless, it would also not turn a blind eye to instances of harassment by the police under the guise of investigation is brought to its notice.



WEB COPY

8. In the present case in hand, the petitioner has complained of harassment by the police based on a complaint and seeks for this Court's intervention by way of a direction. The term 'harassment' by itself has a very wide meaning and hence, what could be harassment to the petitioner may not be the same to the police officer.

9. In order to circumvent such situations, the following guidelines are issued:

a) While summoning any person named in the complaint or any witness to the incident complained of, the police officer shall summon such person through a written summon under Section 179 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, specifying a particular date and time for appearing before them for such an enquiry/investigation.

b)The minutes of the enquiry shall be recorded in the general diary/station diary/daily diary of the police station.

c)The police officer shall refrain himself or herself from harassing persons called upon for enquiry/investigation.

d)The guidelines stipulated for preliminary enquiry or registration of FIR by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Lalita Kumari Vs. Government of Uttar**



Crl.O.P.No.9199 of 2025

Pradesh and others [2014 (2) SCC (1)] shall be strictly adhered to.

WEB COPY

10. With the above observations and direction, the Criminal Original

Petition stands disposed of.

26.03.2025

Internet: Yes

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non speaking order

mn

To

1. The District Superintendent of Police,
Office of The District Superintendent,
Namakkal District.
2. The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
District Crime Branch -II,
Office of The District Police,
Namakkal District.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.9199 of 2025

G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN. J.

mn

Crl.O.P.No.9199 of 2025

26.03.2025