



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.10251 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 18.09.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE **K.RAJASEKAR**

Crl.O.P.No.10251 of 2025

Sugumar

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State represented by its
Inspector of Police,
PEW Tiruvallur Police Station,
Tiruvallur District.
(Cr.No.222 of 2024)

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the accused/petitioner on bail in
Cr.No.222 of 2024 on the file of the respondent.

For Petitioner : Mr.P.Santhosh

For Respondent : Mr.A.Gopinath,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on
12.08.2024 for the alleged offence under Section 8(c) r/w Section
20(b)(ii)(c), 25, 29 of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act,



1985 in Cr.No.222 of 2024 pending on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

WEB COPY

2. The allegations against the petitioner is that the accused persons were transported 55.600 kgs of ganja from Anangapalli to Trichy in Eicher Lorry bearing Regn.No.TN-12-BD-2985 and the same were seized by the respondent police.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner has been in judicial custody from 12.08.2024 i.e., for more than two years. He further submitted that he is ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and reported that this Court vide order dated 30.01.2025, dismissed the petitioner's earlier bail petition in Crl.OP.No.937 of 2025 on the ground that the quantity of contraband involved in this case is a commercial quantity, investigation is also not yet completed.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.



WEB COPY

6. Though the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that final report filed and taken on file for trial, the seized contraband is commercial quantity and it attracts Section 37 of NDPS Act, except the long incarceration and allegation of false implications, no grounds to made out to satisfy under Section 37 of NDPS Act is made out, hence, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

Vv

18.09.2025

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate-I, Tiruvallur.

2. The Inspector of Police,
PEW Tiruvallur Police Station,
Tiruvallur District.

3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

K.RAJASEKAR, J.

Vv



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.10251 of 2025

Crl.O.P.No.10251 of 2025

18.09.2025