



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.9010 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 26-03-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

CRL OP No. 9010 of 2025

Chinnammal

Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
Prohibition Enforcement Wing,
Dharmapuri District. (Crime No.867 of 2024)

Respondent(s)

For Petitioner(s) : Mr.P.M.Jayachandran

For Respondent(s) : Mr.S.Balaji,
Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

PRAYER To enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest by the respondent in Crime No.867 of 2024 on the file of the Respondent.

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Section 4(1)(B) of the Tamil Nadu Prohibition (Amendment) Act, 2024, in Crime No.867 of 2024, on the file of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.



WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that, the petitioner/accused had allowed the public to consume alcohol in her chicken shop and from her shop, 5 bottles of liquor were recovered.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner, aged about 67 years, is innocent; that she has been falsely implicated in this case and hence, he prayed for anticipatory bail for the petitioner.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police, while opposing the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, reiterated the prosecution case and on instructions submitted that the bottles were seized and that the petitioner has no bad antecedents.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police and perused the materials available on record.

6. Taking note of the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of allegations, submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, the fact that the property was recovered, the fact that the petitioner has no bad antecedents and since, custodial interrogation is not required for the purpose of investigation, this



Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

WEB COPY

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on her appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.I, Dharmapuri**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a separate bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each** for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police as and when required for interrogation;

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.9010 of 2025

investigation or trial;

SUNDER MOHAN J.

ham

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]*;

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

ham

26-03-2025

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
Prohibition Enforcement Wing,
Dharmapuri District.
2. The Judicial Magistrate No.I,
Dharmapuri.

CRL OP No. 9010 of 2025