



WEB COPY

CONT.P.No.1747 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 28.08.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE N.SATHISH KUMAR

**CONT.P.No.1747 of 2025
and SUB APPL.No.663 of 2025**

SOL India Pvt. Ltd.,
Rep. by its Director, Mr.S.V.Venkatesan,
No.3, Tarapore Towers,
826, Anna Salai, Chennai-600 002.

Petitioner

Vs

1.Mr.S.Alagappan

2.Mrs.A.Viaslakshi

Respondents

PRAYER

Contempt Petition filed under Section 11 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, to punish the Respondents for wilful disobedience of the order passed by this Hon'ble Court dated 21.10.2021 in Arb.O.P.(Comm.Div.) No. 81 of 2021, as modified by the order dated 29.11.2021 in Arb.O.P.(Comm.Div.) No. 81 of 2021 and as confirmed by order dated 05.01.2022 in A.No.4834 of 2021 in Arb.O.P.(Comm.Div.) No.81 of 2021.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.T.K.Bhaskar

For Contemnor(s): Ms.N.Umayaparvathi



ORDER

WEB COPY

Contempt Petition has been filed to punish the respondents for wilful disobedience of the order passed by this Court and Sub Application has been filed to direct the respondents to submit the original fixed deposit receipt to the Registrar General.

2.In the Arbitral Proceedings, this Court directed the respondents herein to deposit 50% of the award on 21.10.2021. Later modification was sought by the respondents, the same was dismissed vide order dated 05.01.2022 with a direction to deposit the amount ordered earlier within a week. That amount has been deposited which is not in dispute. However, the original fixed deposit receipt has not been produced before this Court.

3.Now, it is the contention of the respondents that the application has been filed and when they sought to produce the original fixed deposit receipt, the Registry did not accept without any application being numbered. At this stage, this Court is of the view that this type of petition is for nothing but abuse of process of law and wasted the time of Court.



WEB COPY

4.As long as there is no dispute with regard to deposit and orders of the Court has been complied. Merely because the fixed deposit receipt has not been produced, the contempt will not arise. Such view of the matter, the respondents shall produce the original fixed deposit receipt before the Registrar General, the Registrar General shall receive the same since the amount has already been deposited.

5.Accordingly, this contempt petition is closed. Consequently, connected sub application is also closed.

28.08.2025

Index:Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet:Yes

Neutral Citation:Yes/No

pam



WEB COPY

CONT.P.No.1747 of 2



N.SATHISH KUMAR, J.

pam

CONT.P.No.1747 of 2025
and SUB APPL.No.663 of 2025

28.08.2025