



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 18-12-2025

WEB COPY

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE P.T. ASHA

CONT P No. 2087 of 2025

1. Ahmedishameem
W/o. S.M.Khadri,
1117-A, DoodpeerMakan Main Road,
Mandimohella,
Mysore-570 001.
2. Arifa Thasim
W/o.S.M.M.Shakhadri
1035, 6th Cross, Near Cake Wala
Jaya Nagar 4th Block,
Bangalore-590 016.
3. Fahim Hafiz
W/o. Ziauddin Hafis
29, Rampet Road,
Fort Belgaum,
Belgam-590 016
4. Syed Ahmed Mohideen
S/o.Late.Syed Abdul Wahab Shuttari,
G75, Alanoville,
Bidarahalli,
Hobli, Kannur,
Bangalore-562 149
5. Syedabadar Fouzia
W/o.Syed Noorul Ameen
96, 17-B, Main Road, 5th Block,
Bangalore South,
Koramangala,
Bangalore-560 095



6. Syed Mohameed Ghouse
S/o.Late. Syed Abdul Wahab Shuttari,
117-120 Shuttaimanzhil
3rd Main Road,
Lux Garden Vali
Bagalur Road,
Krishnagiri-635 103.

7. Athiya Hamid
W/o.Syed Hameed Basha,
10/18-A, 3rd Street,
Rangarajapuram, Saidapet,
Chennai-600 015

8. Syeda Fariha Kulsum
W/o.Amir Mohammed Hasanudin,
39, Sastri Garden 3rd Cross
Mohalla Extension,
Shimoga-577 201.

9. Vahab Syeda Ayisha Bathul
W/o.Syed Mansoor Ahamed,
217, First Floor, 8th Main Road,
Near Mediscod Hosptial,
Pillana Garden,
KadugundalaHalli,
Bangalore-560 045.

10.Imrana Tabassum Irfan
D/o.Late.Syeda Ghosia Nasreen,
Flat No.707, Rose Homes,
Oud Mehta,
Dubai.

11.Syeda Raumana Anjumirfan
D/o.Late.Syeda Ghosia Nasreen
Flat No.707, Rose Homes,
Oud Mehta,
Dubai.



12. Syeda Shabana Tajassumirfan
D/o. Late. Syeda Ghosia Nasreen
Flat No. 707, Rose Homes,
Oud Mehta,
Dubai.

13. Saud Syed Irfan
S/o. Late. Syeda Ghosia Nasreen
1/31, Orwell Road,
Greenhithe
Auckland-0632
New Zealand.
Petitioners 1 to 13 are represented by their
Power Agent L. Vijayasanthi
D/o. Loganathan, 6/266, Valaiyanthoppu,
Pakkirikuttai, Denishpettai-636 354,
Kadayuampatti Taluk,
Salem District.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. Dr. R. Brindha Devi
The District Collector
Salem, Salem District.
2. R. Ravikumar,
The District Revenue Officer
Salem, Salem District.
3. A. Abinaya,
The Revenue Divisional Officer
Salem, Salem District.
4. M. Manoharan,
The Revenue Tahsildar,
Salem (West)
Salem, Salem District



..Contemnor(s)

Prayer:- Contempt Petition is filed under section 11 of the Contempt of Court's Act to initiate appropriate contempt proceedings against the respondents for non-compliance of the order dated 31.01.2025 passed by this Honorable Court in W.M.P.Nos. 3653 and 3655 of 2025 in W.P.No. 3291 of 2025


For Petitioner(s): M/s.M.Elumalai

For Contemnor(s): Mr.Abisheik Moorthy, Govt. Advocate for
R.1 to R.4.

ORDER

The above Contempt Petition has been filed on the ground that there has been a breach of order of stay granted by this Court on 31.01.2025 in WMP.Nos.3291 of 3653 and 3655 of 2025 in WP.No.3291 of 2025.

2.WP.No.3653 of 2025 has been filed challenging the order passed by the 3rd respondent therein who is the 1st respondent herein dated 25.09.2023 in and by which the 3rd respondent had directed the Revenue Records relating to the lands comprised in Ward No.A, Block No.3, Old SF.No.2/7 and 2/8, corresponding to new T.S.No.2/2 measuring 0.3650.00 sq.mtrs and S.No.2/4 measuring 5.0050.0 sq.mtrs. in Zagir Ammalapalayam Village, Salem West Taluk, Salem District to be changed/re-classified without notice to the interested parties. The petitioners therefore challenged the said order and sought for a stay of operation of the same. Therefore, by reason of the stay granted by this Court on 31.01.2025, the order of the 3rd respondent/1st respondent herein directing the change or reclassification of the subject land stands stayed.



3.A perusal of the affidavit filed in support of the contempt petition would show that there is nothing to prove as to how the stay order passed by this Court on 31.01.2025 has been breached. On the contrary, the petitioners' have alleged that the mutation of the revenue records in respect of the subject land has not taken place. By reason of the stay, the respondents are restrained from effecting any change in respect of the subject land.

4.The contention of the petitioners in the affidavit appears contrary to the order of stay. Therefore, no contempt is made against the respondents and consequently, the contempt petition stands dismissed. No costs.

18-12-2025

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No
SHR



To

1. Dr.R.Brindha Devi
The District Collector
Salem, Salem District.
2. R.Ravikumar,
The District Revenue Officer
Salem, Salem District.
3. A.Abinaya,
The Revenue Divisional Officer
Salem, Salem District.
4. M.Manoharan,
The Revenue Tahsildar,
Salem (West)
Salem, Salem District.



WEB COPY

CONT P No. 2087 of 2



P.T.ASHA J.

SHR

CONT P No. 2087 of 2025

18-12-2025