



WEB COPY



C.S.No.102 of 2016

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 23.01.2025

CORAM:

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.A.NAKKIRAN**

**C.S.No.102 of 2016**

1.R.Venkatakrishnan  
2.Radhika Varadharajan  
3.R.Lakshmi Narayanan

.. Plaintiffs

Vs.

1.R.Vedavalli  
2.P.Harikrishnan  
3.Rama Devi Mukundhan  
4.R.Sridharan

.. Defendants

Prayer: Civil Suit filed under Order IV Rule 1 and Order 20 Rule 4 of O.S Rules read with Order VII Rule 1 of Civil Procedure Code praying to pass a judgement and decree against the defendants

- i) partition and separate possession by metes and bounds in the suit property and allot 3/10 share to the plaintiff.
- ii) To appoint an advocate commissioner for suggesting mode of division and put the plaintiffs in possession of a separate 3/10 share of the suit property.
- iii) directing the defendants 1 and 2 to pay the plaintiffs sharing profits
- iv) declaring the settlement deed dated 11.04.2008 vide document No.804/2008 before the Sub Registrar,



WEB COPY



C.S.No.102 of 2016

Mylapore is null and void, fraudulent and fabricated.

v) granting a consequential injunction restraining the defendants 1 and 2 and his men, agents from alienating the suit property to third parties and changing the nature characters of the suit property.

vi) directing the defendants 1 and 2 to pay the costs to the plaintiffs.

|                |   |                                                                    |
|----------------|---|--------------------------------------------------------------------|
| For Plaintiffs | : | No Appearance                                                      |
| For Defendants | : | M/s.R.Meenal<br>& G.Indira for D1 & D2<br>M/s.Sasikala for D3 & D4 |

### JUDGMENT

When the matter came up for hearing on 22.01.2025, there was no representation on behalf of the plaintiffs and hence this Court directed the Registry to print the name of the plaintiffs in the cause list and post the matter under the caption "for dismissal" on 23.01.2025. Even today (23.01.2025), there is no representation on behalf of the plaintiffs either in person or through the counsel on record. Hence, the Civil Suit is dismissed for non-prosecution. No costs.

**23.01.2025**

Index: Yes/No  
Speaking/Non-speaking Order  
uma

**A.A.NAKKIRAN,J.**



WEB COPY



C.S.No.102 of 2016

uma

**C.S.No.102 of 2016**

**23.01.2025**