



Crl.O.P.No.8910 of 2025

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 26.03.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

Crl.O.P.No.8910 of 2025

Harivazhagan @ Hari

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
D1 Tiruttani Police Station,
Thriuvallur District.
(Crime No.257 of 2024).

... Respondent


PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of BNSS, pleaded to enlarge the petitioner on bail, in connection with the Crime No.257 of 2024, pending investigation on the file of the respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Mr.P.Krishna Moorthy

For Respondent : Mr.Leonard Arul Joseph Selvam
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed by the petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 24.01.2025, seeking bail in Crime No.257 of 2024 registered for the offence under Sections 147, 148 of IPC read with Section 3(2) of TNPPDL Act @ 3(2) of TNPPDL Act, 147, 148 and



Crl.O.P.No.8910 of 2025

506(ii) of IPC.

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that, on the date of occurrence, petitioner along with other accused came to the petrol bunk and had quarrel with the defacto complainant and damaged the petrol bunk pump causing damage to the value of Rs.50,000/- Hence, the case.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the allegations are false; that the petitioner is in custody from 24.01.2025 and further custody is not required for the purpose of investigation. Hence, he prayed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the petitioner has 10 previous cases and in those cases, petitioner is on bail.

5. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) for the respondent Police and perused the materials available on record.



CrI.O.P.No.8910 of 2025

WEB COPY

6. Considering, the nature of allegation; period of incarceration; the fact that the petitioner is on bail in other cases and since further custody is not required for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on is executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only)** with **two sureties**, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Tiruttani** and on further conditions that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police everyday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;



CrI.O.P.No.8910 of 2025

WEB COPY

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]***;

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

26.03.2025

Sma

To

1. Judicial Magistrate, Tiruttani.
2. The Inspector of Police,
D1 Tiruttani Police Station,
Thriuvallur District.
3. The Superintendent,
Central Prison Jail II, Puzhal.
4. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.8910 of 2025

SUNDER MOHAN., J.

Sma

Crl.O.P.No.8910 of 2025

26.03.2025