



Crl.O.P.No.8865 of 2025

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 25.03.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

Crl.O.P.No.8865 of 2025

Prabhu

=

...Petitioner/Accused 2

Vs.

State rep by
The Inspector of Police,
Karumathampatty Police Station,
Coimbatore District.

(Crime No.101 of 2025)

...

Respondent

PRAYER: This criminal original petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS to enlarge the petitioner on bail in Crime No.101 of 2025 pending investigation on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.C.S.Saravanan

For Respondent : Mr.Leonard Arul Joseph Selvam
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)



Crl.O.P.No.8865 of 2025

WEB COPY

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed by the petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 02.03.2025, seeking bail in Crime No.101 of 2025 registered for the offence under Sections 3(2)(a), 4(1), 5(1)(a) of ITP Act.

2.It is the case of the prosecution that the petitioner along with the other accused had taken a house on rental basis and ran a prostitution house and the victim girls were rescued. Hence, the case.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the allegations are false; that co-accused have been released on bail by the lower Court; and that in any case, considering the period of incarceration, further custody of the petitioner is not required and sought for bail.

4.Per contra, the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that there are two previous cases pending against the petitioner and he is on bail in those cases.



Crl.O.P.No.8865 of 2025

WEB COPY

5.Heard the learned counsel on either side and perused the materials available on record.

6.Considering the nature of allegation, period of incarceration, the fact that the similarly placed co-accused were granted bail by the lower Court, the fact that the petitioner is on bail in the previous cases, this Court is of the view that further custody of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation. Hence, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7.Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only)** with **two sureties (one surety must be blood relative of the petitioner)**, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **Judicial Magistrate, Sulur**, and on further conditions that:



CrI.O.P.No.8865 of 2025

WEB COPY

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police everyday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]***;

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

25.03.2025

ata



Crl.O.P.No.8865 of 2025

WEB COPY

Copy to:

- 1.The Inspector of Police,
Karumathampatty Police Station,
Coimbatore District.
- 2.The Judicial Magistrate, Sulur.
- 3.Central Prison, Coimbatore.
- 4.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.8865 of 2025

SUNDER MOHAN, J.

ata

CrI.O.P.No.8865 of 2025

25.03.2025