



Cont.P.No.1489 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

WEB COPY

DATED : 16.09.2025

CORAM

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.SURESH KUMAR
and
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE C.SARAVANAN**

Cont.P.No.1489 of 2025

P.Sankar
Special Revenue Inspector,
Salem City Municipal Corporation,
Salem – 636 001.

... Petitioner

-Vs-

1.Thiru Ranjeet Singh, I.A.S.,
Commissioner,
Salem City Municipal Corporation,
Salem – 636 001.

2.Thiru S.Sivarasu, I.A.S.,
Director of Municipal Administration,
No.75, Santhome High Road,
MRC Nagar, Raja Annamalaipuram,
Chennai – 600 028.

3.Dr.D.Karthikeyan I.A.S.,
Secretary to Government,
Municipal Administration and
Water Supply Department,
Secretariat, Chennai – 600 009.

... Respondents

PRAYER : Petition filed under Section 11 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 to punish the respondents for willful disobedience of the orders of the Hon'ble Division Bench of Madras High Court made in W.A.Nos.3679 and 3683 of 2024 dated 19.12.2024 and to pass any other order or direction as this Hon'ble



Cont.P.No.1489 of 2025

Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case and render justice.

WEB COPY

For Petitioner : Mr.S.N.Ravichandran
For Respondents : Mrs.N.Devi
Standing Counsel (For R1)
Mr.C.Selvaraj
Additional Government Pleader
(For R2 & R3)


ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by **R.SURESH KUMAR, J.**)

This contempt petition has been filed for the alleged disobedience of the orders passed in Writ Appeal Nos.3679 and 3683 of 2024 dated 19.12.2024.

2. Today, when the contempt petition is taken up for hearing, Mr.S.N.Ravichandran, learned counsel for the petitioner, would submit that though belatedly the order passed by this Court has been complied with, by virtue of that, on 21.04.2025, an order retaining the petitioner at Salem Municipality has been passed. Pursuant to which, on 22.04.2025, he joined duty and has been continuously working.

3. In view of the said compliance, though belatedly having been made on



Cont.P.No.1489 of 2025

behalf of the respondent, we do feel that no further adjudication is required in

the contempt petition and accordingly, it is closed.

(R.S.K., J.)

(C.S.N., J.)

16.09.2025

NCC : Yes / No

Index : Yes / No

Speaking Order : Yes / No

kak



WEB COPY



Cont.P.No.1489 of 2025

R.SURESH KUMAR, J.
and
C.SARAVANAN, J.

kak

Cont.P.No.1489 of 2025

16.09.2025