



WEB COPY



CRL O.P. No.9099 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Dated : 27.03.2025

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

CRL O.P. No.9099 of 2025

Logeshwaran S/o. Sivaraman

... Petitioner / Accused

Vs

State rep. by:-

The Inspector of Police,
Chengalpattu Taluk Police Station,
Chengalpattu.
[Cr. No.78 of 2025]

... Respondent

PRAYER: - The Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 482 of B.N.S.S., praying to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner / Accused in Cr. No.78 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.


For Petitioner : Mr.S. Chetan Prakash

For Respondent : Mr. S. Balaji,
Government Advocate
[Criminal side]

ORDER

The petitioner / Accused, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offence punishable under Section 303(2) of

1/4



CRL O.P. No.9099 of 2025


B.N.S. in connection with the case in Cr. No.78 of 2025, seeks anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant's Pulsar bike bearing Registration No.TN19 AR 7799, which was parked outside the defacto complainant's house, was found missing.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would contend that the petitioner has nothing to do with the commission of offence; that he has been falsely implicated based on the confession of the co-accused; that he is not a named accused in the FIR; that the allegations against the petitioner are false; and that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required and hence prayed for anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police, while opposing the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, reiterated the prosecution case and on instructions, submitted that the petitioner has four previous cases and that the stolen



CRL O.P. No.9099 of 2025

property has been recovered.
WEB COPY

5. Considering the aforesaid facts and considering the fact that the petitioner has four previous cases of similar nature, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, the Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

27.03.2025

mjs

To

- 1.The Public Prosecutor, High Court, Madras.
- 2.The Inspector of Police, Chengalpattu Taluk Police Station, Chengalpattu.

SUNDER MOHAN. J.,

mjs



WEB COPY



CRL O.P. No.9099 of 2025

CRL O.P. No.9099 of 2025

27.03.2025