



Crl.O.P.No.8737 of 2025

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 25.03.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

Crl.O.P.No.8737 of 2025

1. Krishna
2. Dinesh

... Petitioners

Vs.

The State represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
D-3, Ice House Police Station,
Chennai.
(Crime No.106 of 2025).

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of BNSS, pleaded to enlarge the petitioners on bail, in connection with the Crime No.106 of 2025, pending investigation on the file of the respondent Police.

For Petitioners : M/s.R.Saritha

For Respondent : Mr.Leonard Arul Joseph Selvam
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed by the petitioners, who were arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 04.03.2025, seeking bail in Crime No.106 of 2025 registered for the offence under Sections 296(b), 118(1), 309(4), 311, 351(3) of BNS, 2023.



Crl.O.P.No.8737 of 2025

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners waylaid the defacto complainant and demanded money; that when the defacto complainant refused, petitioners abused him in filthy language and also robbed a sum of Rs.200 at knife point. Hence, the case.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the allegations against the petitioners are false; that petitioners are in custody from 04.03.2025 and in any case, further custody is not required for the purpose of investigation. Hence, he prayed for grant of bail to the petitioners.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police, submitted that, apart from this case, petitioners have other previous cases and in those cases, they are on bail.

5. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) for the respondent Police and perused the materials available on record.



Crl.O.P.No.8737 of 2025

WEB COPY

6. Considering the nature of allegation; the period of incarceration; petitioners are on bail in other cases and since further custody is not required for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail on their executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only)** with **two sureties**, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **Metropolitan Magistrate No.II, Egmore, Chennai** and on further conditions that:


[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent Police, everyday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the



Crl.O.P.No.8737 of 2025

WEB COPY

learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]***;

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

25.03.2025

Sma
To

1. Metropolitan Magistrate II, Egmore, Chennai.
2. The Inspector of Police,
D-3, Ice House Police Station,
Chennai.
3. The Superintendent,
Central Prison, Puzhal.
4. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.8737 of 2025

SUNDER MOHAN., J.

Sma

Crl.O.P.No.8737 of 2025

25.03.2025