



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.8560 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 24-03-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

CRL OP NO.8560 of 2025

Vimal Raj @ Vimal, M/25 years
S/o. Ramaraj

....Petitioner/Accused-2

Vs

The State Rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Madathukulam Police Station,
Tiruppur District
(Crime No.48 of 2025)

....Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest by the respondent police concerned in Crime No.48 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr. Narayana Prasadh

For Respondent : Mr. S.Balaji
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.8560 of 2025



ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) of BNSS, 2023, (Under Section 294(b), 323, 324 and 506(ii) of IPC) in Crime No.48 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that, due to money dispute, the petitioner along with A1 assaulted the defacto complainant with sticks and hands and caused simple injuries. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner and the defacto complainant are close friends; that since the defacto complainant refused to settle the loan amount which was arranged by the petitioner's father, there was a quarrel between them, due to which a false complaint has been filed against the petitioner. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready to produce solvent sureties and to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and also undertake to appear and to co-operate for the investigation, and prayed for anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the injured has been discharged from the hospital; that the petitioner has no previous case and that the investigation is pending.

5. Taking note of the facts and circumstances of the case, considering the nature of allegations against the petitioner, submissions made by the learned counsels on either side, the fact that the injured has been discharged from the hospital, the petitioner has no previous case, and since custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before **the learned District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Madathukulam**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each** for a like sum to the



WEB COPY

satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10:30 a.m., until further orders.

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in



Crl.O.P.No.8560 of 2025

P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

WEB COPY

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR
can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

24.03.2025

bga



Crl.O.P.No.8560 of 2025

SUNDER MOHAN, J.

bga

WEB COPY
To

1. The District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Madathukulam
2. The Inspector of Police,
Madathukulam Police Station,
Tiruppur District
(Crime No.48 of 2025)
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

Crl.O.P. No.8560 of 2025

24.03.2025