



WEB COPY



CMP No.11245 of 2025

in

W.A.No.125 of 2015

S. M. SUBRAMANIAM, J.,

-

MOHAMMED SHAFFIQ, J.,

The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that a resolution was passed for the purpose of merging the petitioner's society with the 3rd respondent/National Machinery Society of India and the said resolution was cancelled on the very next day. Since they are necessary parties, seeks to implead them in the writ appeal as second respondent.

2. The learned counsel appearing for the 3rd respondent would oppose by stating that the petitioner's trust has been represented by the trustee, who was an employee, terminated from the third respondent society.



3. The learned Special Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents 1 and 2 have no serious objection for impleading the petitioner in the writ appeal.

4. All these grounds on merits are to be adjudicated in the main Appeal. However, no prejudice would be caused by impleading the petitioner for an effective adjudication of the present intra-court appeal. Since the appeal has been preferred by the Inspector General of Registration and the District Registrar, the present petition is ordered accordingly.

5. Registry is directed to carry out necessary amendment in the writ appeal and list the Writ Appeal after two weeks.

(S.M.S.J.)

(M.S.Q.J.)

25-09-2025

mrp



WEB COPY

CMP No.11245 of 2025 in W.A.No.125 of 20



**S.M.SUBRAMANIAM J.
AND
MOHAMMED SHAFFIQ J.**

mrp

CMP No.11245 of 2025
in
W.A.No.125 of 2015

25-09-2025