



Crl.O.P.No.8511 of 2025

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 21.03.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

Crl.O.P.No.8511 of 2025

Divagar

...Petitioner/Accused

Vs.

State rep by
The Inspector of Police,
K-9, Thiru-Vi-Ka Nagar Police Station,
Chennai.

(Crime No.85 of 2025)

... Respondent

PRAYER: This criminal original petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS to enlarge the petitioner on bail in Crime No.85 of 2025 pending investigation on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Muthukumar

For Respondent : Mr.Leonard Arul Joseph Selvam
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)



CrI.O.P.No.8511 of 2025

WEB COPY

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed by the petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 06.03.2025, seeking bail in Crime No.85 of 2025 registered for the offence under Sections 296(b), 311 and 351(3) of BNS, 2023.

2.It is the case of the prosecution that the petitioner along with the other accused went to the defacto complainant's shop and demanded money; that when the defacto complainant refused the same, the accused had abused him in filthy language, assaulted him and robbed Rs.2,000/- knife point. Hence, the case.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the allegations are false; that the petitioner is in custody from 06.03.2025 and that in any case, his further custody is not required for the purpose of investigation and sought for bail.



Crl.O.P.No.8511 of 2025

WEB COPY

4.Per contra, the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that a sum of Rs.1500/- has been recovered from the accused and that there are six previous cases pending against the petitioner and he is on bail in all those cases.

5.Heard the learned counsel on either side and perused the materials available on record.

6.Considering the nature of allegations, period of incarceration, the fact that some part of amount has been recovered, the fact that the petitioner is on bail in the previous cases and since further custody of the petitioner is not required, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7.Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only)** with **two sureties**, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **V Metropolitan Magistrate, Egmore, Chennai**, and on further conditions that:



CrI.O.P.No.8511 of 2025

WEB COPY

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police everyday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];***

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

21.03.2025

ata



CrI.O.P.No.8511 of 2025

WEB COPY

Copy to:

- 1.The Inspector of Police,
K-9, Thiru-Vi-Ka Nagar Police Station,
Chennai.
- 2.The V Metropolitan Magistrate, Egmore, Chennai.
- 3.The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison, Puzhal, Chennai.
- 4.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.8511 of 2025

SUNDER MOHAN, J.

ata

CrI.O.P.No.8511 of 2025

21.03.2025