



Crl.A.No.535 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 06.06.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE **G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN**

Crl.A.No.535 of 2025

1.M.Sriram

2.S.Chandramouli

3.S.Sathyaseelan

4.P.Nagaraj

... Appellants

Vs.

1.State represented by

Deputy Superintendent of Police,

Mayiladuthurai Police Station,

Mayiladuthurai

2.S.Saravanan

... Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Appeal filed under Section 14(A) of SC and ST(POA) Act, 1989 r/w Section 483 of BNSS to set aside the order in Crl.MP.No.174 of 2025 dated 19.02.2025 on the file of District and Sessions Judge, Mayiladuthurai and enlarge the appellants/accused on bail in Spl.SC.No.220 of 2024.

For Appellants : Mr.P.Veeraraghavan

For Respondents

For R1 : Mr.S.Raja Kumar,
Additional Public Prosecutor

For R2 : Mr.P.Muthamizh Selvakumar



Crl.A.No.535 of 2025

WEB COPY

JUDGMENT

This Criminal Appeal has been preferred against the order passed in Crl.MP.No.174 of 2025 dated 19.02.2025 on the file of District and Sessions Judge, Mayiladuthurai, thereby dismissed the petition filed for bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 20.03.2024 at about 7.30 pm, one, Saravanan and Ajit went to the house of Ananthaaraj in their motorcycle. At that juncture, the accused chased them by their motor cycle and attacked them with deadly weapons. Unfortunately the said Saravanan ran away and Ajit was heavily attacked by the accused persons, due to which he died on the spot. In fact, the said Saravanan also suffered cut injury and he was taken to hospital. Therefore, on complaint, the first respondent registered FIR in crime No.161 of 2024 for the offence punishable under Sections 147, 148, 341, 294(b), 324, 326, 149, 307, 302, 102(b) of IPC r/w Section 25(1)(B) of Arms Act and Sections 3(1)(r), 3(1)(s), 32(va) of SC/ST Act. There are totally 11 accused, in which the appellants are arrayed as A4 to A7.



Crl.A.No.535 of 2025

3. The learned counsel for the appellants would submit that already A11, who is also standing in the same footing as of the appellants, was granted bail by the trial court. The appellants were arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 06.04.2024.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor submitted that except the first appellant, all have previously involved in criminal cases and it is a case of retaliation. The deceased was an accused in the murder case registered in crime No.636 of 2022 registered for the offences under various sections including Section 302 of IPC.

5. The learned counsel for the second respondent would submit that he is one of the victims and he has sustained grievous injuries due to the attack made by the accused persons. If the appellants are let out on bail, they will definitely do away the life of the second respondent, since it is a case of retaliation murder.

6. Considering the above facts and circumstances, this Court finds no infirmity or illegality in the impugned order and this Court is not inclined to entertain this criminal appeal.



Crl.A.No.535 of 2025

WEB COPY

7. Accordingly, this criminal appeal is dismissed.

06.06.2025

Index : Yes/No
Neutral citation : Yes/No
Speaking/non-speaking order
lok



Crl.A.No.535 of 2025

WEB COPY

To

- 1.District and Sessions Judge, Mayiladuthurai
- 2.Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Mayiladuthurai Police Station,
Mayiladuthurai
- 3.The Public Prosecutor,
Madras High Court.



WEB COPY



Crl.A.No.535 of 2025

G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J.
lok

Crl.A.No.535 of 2025

06.06.2025