



WEB COPY



W.P. No. 9982 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 20.03.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.SUBRAMANIAN

AND

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G. ARUL MURUGAN

Writ Petition No.9982 of 2025
and WMP Nos.11185, 11186, 11187 of 2025

K.Saravanan

.. Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Registrar (General),
High Court of Madras,
Chennai.

2. The Registrar,
Judicial Recruitment Cell,
High Court of Madras,
Chennai.

... Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for, to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, calling for the records relating to the impugned order passed by the second respondent in his proceedings dated 07.03.2025 uploaded in their official website and to quash the same as being arbitrary, illegal, and consequently direct the



W.P. No. 9982 of 2025

respondent to appoint the petitioner as a Xerox Operator vide Notification No.76 of 2024 dated 28.04.2024.

WEB COPY

For Petitioner : Mr.Subramanian
for Mr. M.Dinesh


For Respondents : Mr.E.Chandrasekaran
Standing Counsel, for R1 & R2

ORDER

(Order of the Court was delivered by R.SUBRAMANIAN, J.)

The application of the petitioner for appointment as Xerox Operator in the Tamil Nadu Judicial Service was rejected on the ground that experience certificate was not produced.

2. Mr.R.Subramanian, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would contend that the petitioner had, in fact, obtained an experience certificate on 11.03.2025. Unfortunately for the petitioner, the notification issued calling for applications very clearly provides that certificate must have been obtained on the date of the notification or prior to the date of the



W.P. No. 9982 of 2025

notification. The Certificate that is relied upon by the learned counsel for the petitioner has been obtained after the date of the rejection of his candidature, which is on 07.03.2025.

3. Hence the candidature of the petitioner could not have been considered and we are unable to fault the appointing Authority for having rejected the application of the petitioner on the ground that it is not accompanied by a proper experience certificate.

4. Hence we see no merit in the Writ Petition, the Writ Petition fails and it is accordingly dismissed. There shall no order as to costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

(R.SUBRAMANIAN, J.) (G. ARUL MURUGAN, J.)
20.03.2025

jv

Index: No
Speaking order
Neutral Citation: No



W.P. No. 9982 of 2025

WEB COPY

To

1. The Registrar (General),
High Court of Madras,
Chennai.
2. The Registrar,
Judicial Recruitment Cell,
High Court of Madras,
Chennai.



WEB COPY



W.P. No. 9982 of 2025

R.SUBRAMANIAN, J.

and

G. ARUL MURUGAN, J.

(jv)

Writ Petition No.9982 of 2025

20.03.2025