



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.8128 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

**DATED: 26-03-2025**

CORAM

**THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN**

**CRL OP No. 8128 of 2025**

Malaimel Veeraraghavan

Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Represented by,

The Inspector of Police,

B2, Vishnu Kanchi Police Station,

Little Kancheepuram – 631 501. (Crime No.62 of 2025)

Respondent(s)

For Petitioner(s) : Mr.Ragesh Bhagavath

For Intervenor : Mr.D.Baskar

For Respondent(s) : Mr.S.Balaji,  
Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

**PRAYER** To enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest by the respondent in Crime No.62 of 2025 on the file of the Respondent.

**ORDER**

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(2) of BNS, in Crime No.62 of 2025, on the file of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.



WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to previous enmity, both the petitioner and the de facto complainant, who are working as Sthanikas in Sri Devarajaswamy Temple, Kancheepuram, abused and attacked each other.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent; that he has been falsely implicated in this case; that he had also lodged a complaint against the de facto complainant in Crime No.63 of 2025 and hence, he prayed for anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel for the de facto complainant submitted that the petitioner had threatened the de facto complainant, defamed him and caused grievous injury to the petitioner. Hence, he objected for granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police, while opposing the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, reiterated the prosecution case and on instructions submitted that it is a case and a case in counter; the both the injured persons have been discharged from the hospital and that the petitioner has no bad antecedents.

6. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.



Crl.O.P.No.8128 of 2025

**WEB COPY** 7. Taking note of the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of allegations, submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, the fact that there is a counter case, the injured have been discharged from the hospital and since, custodial interrogation is not required for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

8. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Kancheepuram**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a separate bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each** for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.8128 of 2025

**SUNDER MOHAN J.**

*ham*

**[b] the petitioner, except on Saturday and Sunday, shall report before the respondent Police, everyday at 10.30a.m., until further orders;**

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]*;

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

ham

**26-03-2025**

To

1. The Inspector of Police,  
B2, Vishnu Kanchi Police Station, Little Kancheepuram – 631 501.
2. The Judicial Magistrate, Kancheepuram.

**CRL OP No. 8128 of 2025**



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.8128 of 2025

2/2