



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 18.03.2025

WEB COPY

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

CrI.O.P.No.7905 of 2025

G.Muthumani

...Petitioner/Accused

Vs.

The State rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Palladam Police Station,
Tiruppur District.

(Crime No.216 of 2025)

....Respondent

PRAYER: This criminal original petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail pending investigation of the case in the Crime No.216 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner	:	Mr.T.Balachandran
For Respondent	:	Mr.S.Santhosh, Government Advocate (CrI.Side)

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed by the petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent, seeking anticipatory bail in Crime No.216 of 2025 registered for the offence under Sections 296(b), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS, 2023.




WEB COPY

2.The case of the prosecution is that due to previous enmity, on 16.02.2025, the petitioner abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and assaulted him with iron rod, due to which, the defacto complainant sustained injuries and admitted to hospital. Hence, the case.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent; that he has been falsely implicated in this case; that the petitioner has no bad antecedents and that the injured has been discharged from the hospital and since custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation, he sought for grant of anticipatory bail.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case, confirming the fact that the petitioner has no bad antecedents and the injured has been discharged from the hospital.



5. Considering the nature of allegations against the petitioner and the fact that the injured has been discharged from the hospital; that the petitioner has no bad antecedents and since custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Palladam, Kallakurichi District**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the said Magistrate, on further condition that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police, everyday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;



[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]***;

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

18.03.2025

rkp

Copy to:

1.The Inspector of Police,
Palladam Police Station,
Tiruppur District.

2.The Judicial Magistrate, Palladam, Kallakurichi District.

3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY

CrI.O.P.No.7905 of 2



SUNDER MOHAN, J.

rkp

CrI.O.P.No.7905 of 2025

18.03.2025