



WEB COPY



W.P.No.10168 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Dated : 24.03.2025

Coram

The Hon'ble Mr.Justice Krishnan Ramasamy

W.P.No.10168 of 2025

and

W.M.P.Nos.11412 and 11416 of 2025

Tvl.R R CONSTRUCTION

(Represented by its Proprietor Senthilkumaran Ramaiah)

No.6/A3, Ground Floor, Arul Jothi Street,

Chennai-600091.

...

Petitioner

..Vs..


1. Assistant Commissioner,
Nanganallur Assessment Circle
Integrated Commercial Taxes and Registration
Department Buildings (South Tower).
Room No.224, II Floor,
Nandanam, Chennai-600 035.

2. The Branch Manager,
Andhra Bank, Medavakkam,
Chennai-600100.

...

Respondents

Prayer: This Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus to call for the records relating to the Detailed Impugned Order dated 27.08.2024 along with the summary order bearing Reference No:ZD330824234326G passed by the 1st Respondent and quash the same and subsequently direct the 2nd Respondent to



W.P.No.10168 of 2025

lift the bank attachment made vide letter GSTIN:33ALUPS2875C1ZQ/2024 dated 10.12.2024 as the same being arbitrary passed in violation of the principles of natural justice.

For Petitioner : Mr.P.Gowtham
for Mr.Anand K

For Respondent : Mrs.K.Vasanthamala
Government Advocate (Taxes)

ORDER

The challenge in this writ petition is to the assessment order along with summary order dated 27.08.2024 passed by the respondent and to quash the same.

2. Mrs.K.Vasanthamala, learned Government Advocate (Taxes), takes notice on behalf of the respondents.

3. By consent of the parties, the main Writ Petition is taken up for disposal at the time of admission stage itself.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the show cause notice dated 21.05.2024 was issued to the petitioner by uploading the same in the GST portal, without serving it through physical mode. Therefore, the




W.P.No.10168 of 2025

petitioner was not aware of the same and hence could not file its reply.

Subsequently, the respondent passed the impugned assessment order dated 27.08.2024, demanding tax along with interest and penalty for the Assessment Year 2019-2020 and the same was also uploaded in the GST Portal. The petitioner came to know of the impugned assessment order belatedly. Subsequently, the petitioner's bank account was attached vide order dated 10.12.2024.

5. Further, he would submit that impugned assessment order came to be passed without affording an opportunity of personal hearing to the Petitioner and therefore the same is passed in violation of principles of natural justice. Hence she prays to set aside the impugned order.

6. The learned Government Advocate (Taxes) appearing for the Respondent submitted that though the Show Cause Notice as well as the reminder notices were issued to the Petitioner, by uploading the same in the GST portal, the petitioner failed to submit its reply to substantiate its case and hence impugned assessment order came to be passed.



W.P.No.10168 of 2025

7. In reply, the learned counsel for the petitioner would fairly submit that the petitioner is now ready and willing to pay 25% of the disputed tax liability made by the respondent in the event of providing an opportunity to them to file their reply along with the required documents to substantiate their claim, for which, the learned Government Advocate (Taxes) appearing for the Respondent has no serious objection.

8. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Taxes) for the Respondent and also perused the materials available on record.

9. In the present case, since all the notices were uploaded in the GST portal, the petitioner was unaware of the same and hence could not file its reply. Under such circumstances, impugned order came to be passed.

10. It is settled law that violation of principles of natural justice is a failure of due process. If any order is passed against the petitioner with demand, that order has to be passed after giving an opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioner otherwise, it will amount to depriving the interest of the petitioner and the same amounts to violation of principles of natural justice.



W.P.No.10168 of 2025

WEB COPY

11. In the case on hand, the impugned order came to be passed without hearing the petitioner. Hence, this Court is of the view that the impugned order passed is in violation of principles of natural justice and it is just and necessary to provide an opportunity to the petitioner to establish their case on merits. In such view of the matter, this Court is inclined to set aside the impugned assessment order dated 27.08.2024 passed by the 1st Respondent. Accordingly, this Court passes the following order:-

(i) The impugned order dated 27.08.2024 is set aside and the matter is remanded to the 1st Respondent for fresh consideration on condition that as volunteered by the Petitioner, they shall pay 25% of disputed tax to the Respondent within a period of **four weeks** from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and the setting aside of the impugned order will take effect from the date of payment of the said amount.

(ii) The Petitioner shall file their reply/objection along with the required documents, if any, within a period of two weeks thereafter.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.10168 of 2025

(iii) On filing of such reply/objection by the petitioner, the respondent shall consider the same and issue a 14 days clear notice by fixing the date of personal hearing to the petitioner and thereafter, pass appropriate orders on merits and in accordance with law, after hearing the petitioner, as expeditiously as possible.

(iv) Considering the fact that the impugned order itself has been set aside, this Court is of the opinion that the attachment, if any made on the bank account of the Petitioner, cannot survive any longer and hence, it is lifted. As a sequel, the 2nd respondent is directed to release the attachment on the bank account of the petitioner, immediately upon the production of proof with regard to the payment of 25% as stated above.

12. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of. There is no order as to costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

24.03.2025
(1/2)

Speaking/Non-speaking order
Index : Yes / No
Neutral Citation : Yes / No
arr



W.P.No.10168 of 2025

WEB COPY

- To
1. Assistant Commissioner,
Nanganallur Assessment Circle
Integrated Commercial Taxes and Registration
Department Buildings (South Tower).
Room No.224, II Floor,
Nandanam, Chennai-600 035.
 2. The Branch Manager,
Andhra Bank, Medavakkam,
Chennai-600100.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.10168 of 2025

Krishnan Ramasamy,J.,
arr

W.P.No.10168 of 2025

24.03.2025