



WEB COPY



HCP.No.506 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 24.06.2025

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.S. RAMESH

AND

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V. LAKSHMINARAYANAN

H.C.P.No.506 of 2025

Mahendran

Petitioner(s)/ cousin brother of the detenue
Vs

1. The Secretary To Government,
Home, Prohibition And Excise
Department, Secretariat, Fort
St.George, Chennai - 600 009.

2.The Commissioner Of Police /
Detaining Authority, Coimbatore
City, Coimbatore District.

3.The Superintendent Of Prison,
Central Prison - Coimbatore,
Coimbatore District.

4.State Rep.By Its,
The Inspector Of Police, B-5,
Vadavalli Police Station,
Coimbatore District.

...Respondent(s)

PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to



HCP.No.506 of 2025

issue a writ of Habeas Corpus, to call for the entire records, relating to the petitioner's husband detention under Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982 vide detention order dated 27.02.2025 on the file of the second respondent herein made in proceedings C.No.18/G/IS/2025 quash the same as illegal and consequently direct the respondents herein to produce the petitioner's uncle N.Sankar, S/o.Narayanasamy, aged 51 years before this Court and set the petitioner's uncle at Liberty from detention now the petitioner's husband detained at Central Prison, Coimbatore.

For Petitioner	: Mr.W.Camyles Gandhi
For Respondents	: Mr.E.Raj Thilak Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

M.S.RAMESH, J.
and
V. LAKSHMINARAYANAN, J.

The petitioner herein, who is the cousin brother of the detenu, N.Sankar, S/o.Narayanasamy, aged 51 years, confined at Central Prison, Coimbatore, has come forward with this petition challenging the detention order passed by the second respondent dated 27.02.2025 issued against his uncle, branding him as "Goonda" under the Tamil Nadu Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Bootleggers, Cyber Law Offenders, Drug Offenders, Forest Offenders, Goondas, Immoral Traffic Offenders, Sand Offenders,



HCP.No.506 of 2025

Sexual Offenders, Slum Grabbers and Video Pirates Act, 1982 [Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982].

WEB COPY

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents.

3. Though several grounds are raised in the petition, the learned counsel for the petitioner pointed out that the Detaining Authority has not applied its mind while expressing its subjective satisfaction that the detenu is also likely to be released on bail. It is his submission that the case relied upon by the Detaining Authority is not similar to the present case, as the bail was granted in favour of the accused therein by recording the fact that the there was no previous case as against the accused therein.

4. On a perusal of the Booklet, this Court finds that the bail order relied upon by the Detaining Authority in C.M.P.No.3581 of 2024 dated 25.07.2024, is not similar to the case on hand, since the accused therein was granted bail after recording the fact that no previous case was reported against the accused therein. However, it is admitted that there is one adverse



WEB COPY

cases as against the detenu herein. Considering the nature of the bail order in the similar case relied upon by the Detaining Authority and the case on hand, this Court finds that the subjective satisfaction of the Detaining Authority that the detenu is also likely to be released on bail, suffers from non-application of mind.

5. The Hon'ble Supreme Court, in the case of ***'Rekha Vs. State of Tamil Nadu through Secretary to Government and Another'*** reported in ***'2011 [5] SCC 244'***, has dealt with a situation where the Detention Order is passed without an application of mind. In case, any of the reasons stated in the order of detention is non-existent or a material information is wrongly assumed, that will vitiate the Detention Order. When the subjective satisfaction was irrational or there was non-application of mind, the Hon'ble Supreme Court held that the order of detention is liable to be quashed. It is relevant to extract paragraphs 10 and 11 of the said judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court:-

“10. In our opinion, if details are given by the respondent authority about the alleged bail orders in similar cases mentioning the date of the orders, the bail application number, whether the bail order was



WEB COPY



HCP.No.506 of 2025

passed in respect of the co-accused in the same case, and whether the case of the co-accused was on the same footing as the case of the petitioner, then, of course, it could be argued that there is likelihood of the accused being released on bail, because it is the normal practice of most courts that if a co-accused has been granted bail and his case is on the same footing as that of the petitioner, then the petitioner is ordinarily granted bail. However, the respondent authority should have given details about the alleged bail order in similar cases, which has not been done in the present case. A mere ipse dixit statement in the grounds of detention cannot sustain the detention order and has to be ignored.

11. In our opinion, the detention order in question only contains ipse dixit regarding the alleged imminent possibility of the accused coming out on bail and there was no reliable material to this effect. Hence, the detention order in question cannot be sustained.”

6. In view of the ratio laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and in



HCP.No.506 of 2025

view of the aforesaid facts, this Court is of the view that the detention order is liable to be quashed.

7. Hence, for the aforesaid reasons, the detention order passed by the second respondent on 27.02.2025 in C.No.18/G/IS/2025, is hereby set aside and the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed. The detenu viz., N.Sankar, S/o.Narayanasamy, aged 51 years, confined at Central Prison, Coimbatore, is directed to be set at liberty forthwith, unless he is required in connection with any other case.

[M.S.R., J] [V.L.N., J]
24.06.2025

(3/3)

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Internet: Yes/No
Neutral Citation: Yes/No
Anu

To
1. The Secretary To Government,
Home, Prohibition And Excise
Department, Secretariat, Fort
St.George, Chennai - 600 009.

2.The Commissioner Of Police /
Detaining Authority, Coimbatore
City, Coimbatore District.



HCP.No.506 of 2025

3.The Superintendent Of Prison,
Central Prison - Coimbatore,
Coimbatore District.

4.The Inspector Of Police, B-5,
Vadavalli Police Station,
Coimbatore District.

5.The Joint Secretary,
Law and Order Department,
Secretariat, Chennai

6.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

M.S.RAMESH, J.
and
V. LAKSHMINARAYANAN, J.



WEB COPY



HCP.No.506 of 2025

Anu

H.C.P.No.506 of 2025

24.06.2025
(3/3)