



WEB COPY

WP No. 10044 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 25-03-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE KRISHNAN RAMASAMY

WP No. 10044 of 2025

AND

WMP NO. 11271 OF 2025, WMP NO. 11270 OF 2025

Mr. Mahaveer Chand Bohra
Proprietor of Ms. Supreme Petro Chemicals
No. 145, Raja Muthaiah Road, Choolai,
Chennai 600 112

Petitioner(s)

Vs

The Assistant Commissioner ST
Washermenpet, Assessment Circle,
Integrated Commercial Tax Offices
Complex Room No. 206
No. 1275/3 Bridge Road, Vepery
Chennai 600 003.

Respondent(s)

PRAYER:- Writ Petition filed under Section 226 of the Constitution of India, pleaded to issue a Writ of Certiorari, to call for the records of the order passed by the respondent in GSTIN 33AAJPB4631P1ZO/2018-19 along with DRC-07



dated 12.04.2024 and quash the same as illegal, unjustified, arbitrary and unreasonable.

WEB COPY

For Petitioner(s): Mr.Sunil Kumar J

For Respondent(s): Ms.Amirta Poonkodi Dinakaran,
Government Advocate (t)

ORDER

This Writ Petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking to call for the records of the order passed by the respondent in GSTIN 33AAJPB4631P1ZO/2018-19 along with DRC-07 dated 12.04.2024 and quash the same.

2.Ms.Amirta Poonkodi Dinakaran, learned Government Advocate (Taxes), takes notice on behalf of the respondent.

3.By consent of the parties, the main writ petition is taken up for disposal at the admission stage itself.



WEB COPY

4.Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that, in the present case, the petitioner have not received any physical copy of the show cause notice and also personal hearing notice. The show cause notice dated 26.12.2023 was uploaded in the GST Portal and the petitioner had no occasion to open the GST Portal. Even the impugned order dated 12.04.2024 was also uploaded in the Portal, which is violation of principle of natural justice. He would further submit that the petitioner remitted 50% of the disputed tax demand in respect of the impugned assessment period and prayed to set aside the impugned order directing the respondent to permit the petitioner to file their reply and provide an opportunity of personal hearing so that the petitioner would be able to substantiate their case.

5.Learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondent would submit that if the Court feels it appropriate and it is a fit case for re-consideration, this Court may consider and pass orders.



6. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner as well as the learned Government Advocate and perused the materials available on record.

7. Considering the above submissions made by the learned counsel on either side and upon perusal of the materials, it is evident that the impugned show cause notice was issued through the GST Portal Tab. According to the petitioner, the petitioner was not aware of the issuance of the show cause notice issued through the GST Portal and the original of the said show cause notice was not furnished to them. It appears that two reminders were also sent to the petitioner through the GST portal. When such being the case, this Court feels that when the respondent did not receive any reply/response from the petitioner for the show causes notice and the reminders and when the statute provide alternative mode for sending notices, it is a bounden duty of the respondent to choose an other effective mode of service instead of uploading the notices and the reminders on the common portal again and again. When such exercise is being carried out, the petitioner would have not come with the plea before his Court that they have not received any notices.



WEB COPY

8. Thus, in such circumstances, this Court is of the view that the impugned order came to be passed without affording opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioner to establish their case, thereby violating the principles of natural justice and that it is just and necessary to provide an opportunity to the petitioner to establish their case on merits and in accordance with law.

9. For the reasons stated above, this Court is inclined to set aside the impugned order dated 12.04.2024 passed by the respondent. Accordingly, this Court passes the following order:-

(i) The order impugned herein is set aside. The petitioner shall file their reply/objection along with the required documents, if any, within a period of two weeks thereafter.

(ii) On filing of such reply/objection by the petitioner, the respondent shall consider the same and issue a 14 days clear notice by fixing the date of personal hearing to the petitioner and thereafter, pass appropriate orders on merits and in accordance with law, after hearing the petitioner, as expeditiously as possible.



WEB COPY

10. With the above directions, the writ petition is disposed of. There is no order as to costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

25-03-2025

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

rst

Index : Yes/No

Internet: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-Speaking Order

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

To

The Assistant Commissioner ST
Washermenpet, Assessment Circle,
Integrated Commercial Tax Offices
Complex Room No. 206
No. 1275/3 Bridge Road, Vepery
Chennai 600 003.



WEB COPY

WP No. 10044 of 2025





WEB COPY

WP No. 10044 of 2025



KRISHNAN RAMASAMY J.

rst

WP No. 10044 of 2025

AND

WMP NO. 11271 OF 2025,

WMP NO. 11270 OF 2025

25-03-2025