



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 17-03-2025

WEB COPY

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

CRL OP No. 7611 of 2025

Basappa

Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State represented by,

The Forest Range Officer,

Hosur, Krishnagiri District. (WLOR No.14 of 2024)

Respondent(s)

For Petitioner(s): Mr.J.Pradeep

For Respondent(s): Mr.S.Santhosh,
Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

PRAYER

To enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest by the Respondent in WLOR No.14 of 2024 on the file of the Respondent.

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 9, 39, 40, 42, 43, 44, 48, 49, 49(A), (B), (C) r/w Sections 2(2), (11), (16), (31), (36) and Section 51(1) of Wild Life Protection Act, 1972 in WLOR No.14 of 2024 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.



WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that, the accused had illegally transported elephant tusks.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent; that he has been falsely implicated in this case and prayed for anticipatory bail for the petitioner.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police, while opposing the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, reiterated the prosecution case and on instructions submitted that the petitioner has no bad antecedents.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police and perused the materials available on record.

6. Taking note of the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of allegations, submissions made by the learned counsels on either side, the fact that the properties were seized, the fact that the petitioner has no bad antecedents and since, custodial interrogation is not required for the purpose of



investigation, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

WEB COPY

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.2, Hosur**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a separate bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each** for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent **Police as and when required for interrogation.**



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 7611 of 2025



SUNDER MOHAN J.

ham

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]*.

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

ham

17-03-2025

To

1. The Forest Range Officer,
Hosur, Krishnagiri District.
2. The Judicial Magistrate No.2, Hosur,
3. The Public Prosecutor, High Court of Madras.

CRL OP No. 7611 of 2025