



CrI.O.P.No.7468 of 2025

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 14.03.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

CrI.O.P.No.7468 of 2025

D.Elumalai

...Petitioner/Accused 3

Vs.

State rep by
The Inspector of Police,
T1, Ambattur Police Station,
Tiruvallur District.

(Crime No.158 of 2025)

... Respondent

PRAYER: This criminal original petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS to enlarge the petitioner on bail in Crime No.158 of 2025 pending investigation on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Maran

For Respondent : Mr.Leonard Arul Joseph Selvam
Government Advocate (CrI. Side)



CrI.O.P.No.7468 of 2025

WEB COPY

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed by the petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 13.02.2025, seeking bail in Crime No.158 of 2025 registered for the offence under Sections 275, 123 of BNS, 2023 and Section 24(1) of COTPA, 2003 and Section 59 of Food Safety and Standard Act, 2006.

2.It is the case of the prosecution that based on a secret information, the respondent and their officials went to the scene of occurrence and found the petitioner along with the other accused in possession of 575 kgs of banned tobacco products worth about Rs.4,50,000/-. Hence, the case.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the allegations are false; that the contraband has been seized and the petitioner has no bad antecedents; that the petitioner is in custody from 13.02.2025 and that in any case, further custody is not required for the purpose of investigation and sought for bail.



Crl.O.P.No.7468 of 2025

WEB COPY

4.Per contra, the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the contraband has been seized and the petitioner has no bad antecedents.

5.Heard the learned counsel on either side and perused the materials available on record.

6.Considering the nature of allegations, period of incarceration, the fact that the contraband was seized, the fact that the petitioner has no bad antecedents and since further custody of the petitioner is not required, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7.Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only)** with **two sureties**, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **Judicial Magistrate, Ambattur**, and on further conditions that:



CrI.O.P.No.7468 of 2025

WEB COPY

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police everyday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];***

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

14.03.2025

ata



CrI.O.P.No.7468 of 2025

WEB COPY

Copy to:

- 1.The Inspector of Police,
T1, Ambattur Police Station,
Tiruvallur District.
- 2.The Judicial Magistrate, Ambattur.
- 3.The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison, Puzhal.
- 4.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.7468 of 2025

SUNDER MOHAN, J.

ata

CrI.O.P.No.7468 of 2025

14.03.2025