



WEB COPY

Crl.OP.No.7442 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 14.03.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

Crl.OP.No.7442 of 2025

1.S.Pavan Kumar

2.Narendran @ Narendra @ Narendira ... Petitioners /Accused

Vs.

The State rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Pennalurpet Police Station,
Tiruvallur District.

... Respondent/ Complainant

(Crime No.46 of 2025)


Prayer: This criminal original petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS to enlarge the petitioners on bail in Crime No.46 of 2025 pending investigation on the file of the respondent police.

For petitioner : Mr.D.Magesh

For Respondent : Mr.Leonard Arul Joseph Selvam
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed by the petitioners, who were arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 26.02.2025, seeking bail



in Crime No.46 of 2025 registered for the offence under Sections 275, 276, 123 of BNS r/w Section 24(1) of COTPA, 2003.

WEB COPY

2.It is the case of the prosecution that the respondent and their officials conducted routine vehicle checkup; that the petitioners were found in possession of 346.910 kgs of banned tobacco products in a vehicle bearing Registration No.TN-07-CQ-2025. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent and they have no bad antecedents; that the contraband has been seized and the petitioners are in custody from 26.02.2025 and hence, their further custody is not required.

4.Per contra, the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the contraband has been seized and that the petitioners have no bad antecedents.

5.Heard the learned counsel on either side and perused the materials available on record.



WEB COPY

6.Considering the nature of allegations, period of incarceration, the fact that the contraband has been seized, the petitioners have no bad antecedents and since their further custody is not required for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioners with certain conditions:

7.Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail on their executing separate bond for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only) each** with **two sureties**, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Uthukottai**, and on further conditions that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent Police, everyday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;



[d] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

WEB COPY

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]***;

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

ata

14.03.2025



To
WEB COPY

1.The Inspector of Police,
Pennalurpet Police Station,
Tiruvallur District.

2.Sub Jail, Thiruvallur.

3.The District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Uthukottai.

2.The Public Prosecutor,
Madras High Court,
Chennai.



WEB COPY

Crl.OP.No.7442 of 2



SUNDER MOHAN, J.

ata

Crl.OP.No.7442 of 2025

14.03.2025