



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.7607 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 25.03.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE **G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN**

Crl.O.P.No.7607 of 2025

1. Lawrence Masilamani Arunmainayagam
@ Lawrence Arumainayagam

2. Rajakumar @ Rajakumar

... Petitioners

Vs.

1. State by
The Inspector of Police,
CCB Branch, Coimbatore City,
Coimbatore.

2. J.D. Socrates

... Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 to call for the records in FIR in Cr.No.30 of 2024 dated 23.05.2024 on the file of the 1st respondent police and quash the same.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.7607 of 2025

For Petitioner : Mr.G.Prabhakaran
For R1 : Mr.A.Gopinath
Govt.Advocate (Crl.side)

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed to call for the records and to quash the F.I.R. in Crime No.30 of 2024 registered by the first respondent police for offences under Sections 408, 420 & 120B of IPC.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the complainant J.D.Socrates is an advocate engaged in social awareness work and regular donor to the churches and public trust. Open Hands International (India) is the public trust. A1 and his deceased wife started taking public donations and purchased the property in the name of Open Hands International (India) on 13.12.2006 from one Ramathal. The petitioners and other accused sold the trust property of Open Hands International (India) to A4 and A5 for low price and enjoyed the money for their own benefits. The property



Crl.O.P.No.7607 of 2025

WEB COPY

was sold without permission of the Court and no publication was given before the selling the property.

3. The learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Without any base, the first respondent police registered a case in Crime No.30 of 2020 for the offences under Sections 406, 420 & 120B of IPC, as against the petitioner. Hence he prayed to quash the same.

4. Heard the learned Counsel appearing on either side and perused the materials placed on record.

5. It is seen from the First Information Report that there are specific allegations as against the petitioners to attract the offence, which has to be investigated in depth. Further the FIR is not an encyclopedia and it need not contain all facts and it cannot be quashed in the threshold. This Court finds that the FIR discloses prima facie commission of



Crl.O.P.No.7607 of 2025

WEB COPY

cognizable offence and as such this Court cannot interfere with the investigation. The investigating machinery has to step in to investigate, grab and unearth the crime in accordance with the procedures prescribed in the Code.

6. The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India passed in the judgment reported in **2019 (14) SCC 350** in the case of ***Sau. Kamal Shivaji Pokarnekar vs. The State of Maharashtra & ors., (Crl.A.No.255 of 2019*** dated **12.02.2019**) held that the learned Magistrate while taking cognizance and summoning, is required to apply his judicial mind only with the view to taking cognizance of the offence whether a *prima facie* case has been made out for summoning the accused person. The learned Magistrate is not required to evaluate the merits of the materials or evidence in support of the complaint, because the Magistrate must not undertake the exercise to find out whether the materials would lead to conviction or not. Only in a case where the complaint does not disclose any offence or is frivolous, vexatious or oppressive, the complaint/FIR can be taken for consideration for quashment. If the allegations set out in




Crl.O.P.No.7607 of 2025

WEB COPY

the complaint do not constitute the offence of which cognizance has been taken by Magistrate, it can be considered for quashment. Therefore, it is not necessary that a meticulous analysis of the case should be done before the trial to find out whether the case would end in conviction or acquittal. If it appears on a reading of the complaint and consideration of the allegations therein, in the light of the statement made on oath that the ingredients of the offence are disclosed, there would be no justification to interfere. At the initial stage of issuance of process, it is no open to the Court to stifle the proceedings by entering into the merits of the contentions made on behalf of the accused. Therefore, the criminal complaint cannot be quashed only on the ground that the allegations made therein appear to be of a civil nature. If the ingredients of the offence alleged against the accused are *prima facie* made out in the complaint, the criminal proceeding shall not be interdicted.

7. Further the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India issued directions in the judgment reported in **2021 SCC Online SC 315** in the case of ***M/s.Neeharika Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd., Vs. State of***



Crl.O.P.No.7607 of 2025

WEB COPY

Maharashtra & ors., as follows :-

“23.

vi) *Criminal proceedings ought not to be scuttled at the initial stage;*

vii) *Quashing of a complaint/FIR should be an exception rather than an ordinary rule;*

.....

xii) *The first information report is not an encyclopaedia which must disclose all facts and details relating to the offence reported. Therefore, when the investigation by the police is in progress, the court should not go into the merits of the allegations in the FIR. Police must be permitted to complete the investigation. It would be premature to pronounce the conclusion based on hazy facts that the complaint/FIR does not deserve to be investigated or that it amounts to abuse of process of law. After investigation, if the investigating officer finds that there is no substance in the application made by the complainant, the investigating officer may file an appropriate report/summary before the learned Magistrate which may be considered by the learned Magistrate in accordance with the known procedure;*

.....



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.7607 of 2025

xv) When a prayer for quashing the FIR is made by the alleged accused and the court when it exercises the power under Section 482 Cr.P.C., only has to consider whether the allegations in the FIR disclose commission of a cognizable offence or not. The court is not required to consider on merits whether or not the merits of the allegations make out a cognizable offence and the court has to permit the investigating agency/police to investigate the allegations in the FIR;”

8. In view of the above discussions, this Court is not inclined to quash the First Information Report. The first respondent is directed to complete the investigation in Crime No.30 of 2020 and file a final report within a period of twelve weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this Order, before the jurisdiction Magistrate, if not already filed.

9. It is made clear that the petitioners to file the relevant documents before the Investigation Officer. On receipt of the same, the Investigation Officer is directed to consider the same while filing final report.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.7607 of 2025

G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J.

kkd

9. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

26.03.2025

Neutral citation : Yes/No
Speaking/non-speaking order
kkd

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
CCB Branch, Coimbatore City,
Coimbatore.
2. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

Crl.O.P.No.7607 of 2025