



Crl.OP.No.7499 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

WEB COPY

DATED : 19.03.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

Crl.O.P.No.7499 of 2025

Ramadoss

.. Petitioner

Vs.

The State rep by
The Inspector of Police,
Chengam Police Station,
Tiruvannamalai District.
(Crime No.565 of 2024)

.. Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the
event of his arrest in Crime No.565 of 2024 on the file of the respondent
Police.

For Petitioner : M/s.E.Sathiyaraj Elangovan

For Respondent : Mr.S.Santhosh
Government Advocate(Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent
police for the offences punishable under Section 303(2) of BNS and Section
21(5) of Mines and Minerals (Development & Regulation) Act 1957 in




Crime No.565 of 2024, seeks anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY 2. The case of the prosecution is that, the petitioner had illegally transported 4 cubic metre of Gravel sand.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent; that he has been falsely implicated in this case and prayed for anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police, while opposing the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, reiterated the prosecution case and on instructions submitted that there are 4 previous cases against the petitioner, out of which 3 cases are pending.

5. At this juncture, the learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner, without prejudice to his defence and contention, is ready and willing to deposit an amount of Rs.10,000/- as non-refundable deposit to any welfare scheme of the Government or any other organization.




Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

6. Considering the voluntary submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner is directed to deposit a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)** as non refundable deposit to “**District Legal Services Authority, Tiruvannamalai District**”, without prejudice to the right of the defence before the Trial Court and making it clear that it would not amount to admission of guilt.

7. Taking note of the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of allegations, submissions made by the learned counsels on either side, the fact that the contraband was seized, and since, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

8. Accordingly, petitioner is directed to make a non-refundable deposit of **Rs.10,000/- [Rupees Ten Thousan Only]** directly to the credit of “**District Legal Services Authority, Tiruvannamalai District**”, without



WEB COPY

prejudice to the right of the defence before the Trial Court, on such deposit and production of proof, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the ***Learned Judicial Magistrate, Chengam, Tiruvannamalai District***, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further conditions that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the Petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed



CrI.OP.No.7499 of 2025

and the Petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**;

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

19.03.2025

Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
dpa

To

- 1.The Inspector of Police,
Chengam Police Station,
Tiruvannamalai District.
- 2.The Learned Judicial Magistrate,
Chengam, Tiruvannamalai District.
- 3.The Public Prosecutor,
Madras High Court,
Chennai.



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.7499 of 2025

SUNDER MOHAN , J.

dpa

Crl.O.P.No.7499 of 2025

19.03.2025