



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.7437 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 14-03-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

CRL OP No.7437 of 2025

1. Ajithkumar
2. Arjunan
3. Jana @ Janakrishnamoorthy

... Petitioners

Vs

State rep by
The Inspector of Police,
Padalam Police Station
Chengalpattu District
Crime No.90 of 2025


...Respondent

For Petitioner : Mr.A.Murugavel

For Respondent : Mr. S. Santhosh,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS in Crime No.90 of 2025, seek anticipatory bail.



2. The case of the prosecution is that, on account of prior enmity, the petitioners attacked the defacto complainant and abused him in filthy language.

WEB COPY

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the allegations are false; and that in any case, custodial interrogation is not required and sought for anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police, while opposing the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners, reiterated the prosecution case and on instructions submitted that the injured has been discharged from the hospital and that there are no previous case against the petitioners.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) for the respondent and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the nature of allegations, the fact that the injured has been discharged from the hospital, and since, custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not required for the purpose of investigation, this Court is



inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

WEB COPY

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Madurantakam, Chengalpattu district**, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)** with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the Petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the Petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as



Crl.O.P.No.7437 of 2025

laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala**
[(2005)AIR SCW 5560];

WEB COPY

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered
under Section 269 BNS.

14.03.2025

Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No

dpq

To

The Inspector of Police,
Padalam Police Station
Chengalpattu District.
Crime No.90 of 2025



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.7437 of 2025

SUNDER MOHAN, J.

dpq

CRL OP No.7437 of 2025

14.03.2025