



Crl.O.P.No.8227 of 2025

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 20.03.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN**

Crl.O.P.No.8227 of 2025
and Crl.M.P.No.5387 of 2025

1. Abhishek
2. Govindaswamy
3. Rajamani
4. Anudev ... Petitioners

Vs

1.The State Rep. By
The Inspector of Police,
Saravanampatti Police Station,
Coimbatore City.
(Crime No.565 of 2023)

2.Ramasamy ... Respondents

Prayer : Criminal Original Petition has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS Act, 2023, pleased to call for the entire records pursuant to the FIR in Crime No.565 of 2023 on the file of the respondent and quash the same by allowing this Criminal Original Petition so far as petitioner is concern.

For Petitioners	: Mr.M.Mariappan
For R1	: Mr.A.Gopinath, Government Advocate (Crl. Side)



Crl.O.P.No.8227 of 2025

WEB COPY

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed to quash the FIR in Crime No.565 of 2023, pending on the file of the first respondent.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) for the first respondent and perused the materials available on record.

3. The case of the prosecution is that due to a civil dispute, there was a wordy quarrel between the accused and the defacto complainant, thereby attacked the defacto complainant, causing injuries. Hence, the complaint.

4. On receipt of the complaint, the first respondent registered FIR in Crime No.565 of 2023 for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 323 and 506(i) of IPC.



Crl.O.P.No.8227 of 2025

WEB COPY

5. A perusal of FIR revealed that there are specific allegations to attract the above offences as against the petitioner. That apart, now, it is in FIR stage, which has to be investigated in depth. Further the FIR is not an encyclopedia and it need not contain all facts and it cannot be quashed in its threshold. This Court finds that the FIR discloses prima facie commission of cognizable offence and as such this Court cannot interfere with the investigation. The investigating machinery has to step in to investigate, grab and unearth the crime in accordance with the procedures prescribed in the Code.

6. The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India passed in the judgment reported in **2019 (14) SCC 350** in the case of ***Sau. Kamal Shivaji Pokarnekar vs. The State of Maharashtra & ors., (Crl.A.No.255 of 2019 dated 12.02.2019)*** held that the learned Magistrate while taking cognizance and summoning, is required to apply his judicial mind only with the view to taking cognizance of the offence whether a *prima facie* case has been made out for summoning the accused person. The learned Magistrate is not



CrI.O.P.No.8227 of 2025

WEB COPY

required to evaluate the merits of the materials or evidence in support of the complaint, because the Magistrate must not undertake the exercise to find out whether the materials would lead to conviction or not. Only in a case where the complaint does not disclose any offence or is frivolous, vexatious or oppressive, the complaint/FIR can be taken for consideration for quashment. If the allegations set out in the complaint do not constitute the offence of which cognizance has been taken by Magistrate, it can be considered for quashment. Therefore, it is not necessary that a meticulous analysis of the case should be done before the trial to find out whether the case would end in conviction or acquittal. If it appears on a reading of the complaint and consideration of the allegations therein, in the light of the statement made on oath that the ingredients of the offence are disclosed, there would be no justification to interfere. At the initial stage of issuance of process, it is not open to the Court to stifle the proceedings by entering into the merits of the contentions made on behalf of the accused. Therefore, the criminal complaint cannot be quashed only on the ground that the allegations made therein appear to be of a civil nature. If the ingredients of the offence alleged against the accused are *prima facie* made out in the complaint, the criminal

Page 4 of 8



CrI.O.P.No.8227 of 2025

WEB COPY

proceeding shall not be interdicted.

7. Further the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India issued directions in the judgment reported in **2021 SCC Online SC 315** in the case of **M/s.Neeharika Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd., Vs. State of Maharashtra & ors.**, as follows :-

“23. 7

vi) *Criminal proceedings ought not to be scuttled at the initial stage;*

vii) *Quashing of a complaint/FIR should be an exception rather than an ordinary rule;*

.....

xii) *The first information report is not an encyclopaedia which must disclose all facts and details relating to the offence reported. Therefore, when the investigation by the police is in progress, the court should not go into the merits of the allegations in the FIR. Police must be permitted to complete the investigation. It would be premature to pronounce the conclusion based on hazy facts that the complaint/FIR does not deserve to be investigated or that it amounts to abuse of process of law. After investigation,*



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.8227 of 2025

if the investigating officer finds that there is no substance in the application made by the complainant, the investigating officer may file an appropriate report/summary before the learned Magistrate which may be considered by the learned Magistrate in accordance with the known procedure;

.....

(xv) When a prayer for quashing the FIR is made by the alleged accused and the court when it exercises the power under Section 482 Cr.P.C., only has to consider whether the allegations in the FIR disclose commission of a cognizable offence or not. The court is not required to consider on merits whether or not the merits of the allegations make out a cognizable offence and the court has to permit the investigating agency/police to investigate the allegations in the FIR; ”

8. In view of the above discussions, this Court is not inclined to quash the First Information Report in Crime No.565 of 2023 on the file of the first respondent police. The first respondent is directed to complete the investigation in Crime No.565 of 2023 and file a final report, within a period of twelve weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.



Crl.O.P.No.8227 of 2025

WEB COPY

9. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

Consequently, connected Miscellaneous petition is closed.

20.03.2025

Index: Yes/No

Speaking Order: Yes/No

mn

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
Saravanampatti Police Station,
Coimbatore City.

2. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.8227 of 2025

G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN,J.

mn

Crl.O.P.No.8227 of 2025

20.03.2025