

Crl.O.P.No.7111 of 2025

SUNDER MOHAN, J.

WEB COPY

This matter is posted today under the caption 'For Being Mentioned' at the instance of the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner.

2. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that on 27.03.2025, this Court had granted bail to petitioner herein in Crl.O.P.No.7111 of 2025. However, in the order, the BNS sections equivalent to IPC sections were omitted to be mentioned. Thus, he prayed for suitable corrections in the order dated 27.03.2025.

3. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, Registry is directed to issue order copy afresh forthwith, by correcting paragraph No.1 of the order dated 27.03.2025 as follows:

“This Criminal Original Petition has been filed by the petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 01.02.2025, seeking bail in Crime No.46 of 2025 registered for the offence under Sections 296(b), 311 and 351(3) of BNS, 2023 and relevant sections (294(b), 397 and 506(ii)) of IPC.”

01.04.2025

Issue order copy by 01.04.2025.

Upload order copy forthwith

bga



WEB COPY



SUNDER MOHAN, J.

bga

Crl.O.P.No.7111 of 2025

01.04.2025



WEB COPY



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 27.03.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

CrI.O.P.No.7111 of 2025

Niyamathullah

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
K9 Thiru.Vi.Ka.Nagar Police Station,
Chennai.
(Crime No.46 of 2025).

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of BNSS, pleaded to enlarge the petitioner on bail, in connection with the Crime No.46 of 2025, pending investigation on the file of the respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Mr.C.Jagan

For Respondent : Mr.Leonard Arul Joseph Selvam
Government Advocate (CrI.Side)

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed by the petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 01.02.2025, seeking bail in Crime No.46 of 2025 registered for the offence under Sections 294(b), 397, 506(ii) of IPC.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner waylaid the defacto



complainant and robbed a sum of Rs.1,000/- at knife point. Hence, the case.


WEB COPY

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the allegations against the petitioner are false; that petitioner is in custody from 01.02.2025 and in any case, further custody is not required for the purpose of investigation. Hence, he prayed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police, submitted that, apart from this case, petitioner has other previous cases and in those cases, he is on bail.

5. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) for the respondent Police and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the nature of allegation; the period of incarceration; petitioner is on bail in other cases and since further custody is not required for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.



WEB COPY

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only)** with **two sureties**, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **V Metropolitan Magistrate Court, Egmore, Chennai** and on further conditions that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police, everyday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]***;



WEB COPY

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

27.03.2025

Sma
To

1. V Metropolitan Magistrate Court, Egmore, Chennai.
2. The Inspector of Police,
K9 Thiru.Vi.Ka.Nagar Police Station,
Chennai.
3. The Superintendent,
Central Prison, Puzhal -2.
4. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



SUNDER MOHAN., J.

Sma

Crl.O.P.No.7111 of 2025

27.03.2025