



Crl.O.P.No.7483 of 2025

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 14.03.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

Crl.O.P.No.7483 of 2025

Sudhakar @ Eli

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State Rep By,
The Inspector Of Police
K2 Ayanavaram Police Station,
Chennai.

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of BNSS, pleaded to enlarge the petitioner on bail, in connection with the Crime No.94 of 2025, pending investigation on the file of the respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Mr.E.Gopala Krishnan

For Respondent : Mr.Leonard Arul Joseph Selvam
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed by the petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 28.02.2025, seeking bail in Crime No.94 of 2025 registered for the offence under Sections 126(2), 296(b), 115(2), 125, 311, 324(2), 351(3) of BNS.



Crl.O.P.No.7483 of 2025

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and robbed a sum of Rs.400/- from the defacto complainant with knife point. Thereafter, petitioner had attacked the defacto complainant and caused simple injury on the defacto complainant's face. Hence, the case.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the allegations are false and the petitioner is in custody from 28.02.2025; and that further custody is not required for the purpose of investigation. Hence, he prayed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) reiterated the prosecution case and also submitted that, though the injured has been discharged from the hospital, he has suffered injuries on the face.

5. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) for the respondent Police and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering, nature of allegations against the petitioner; period of incarceration; and since further custody is not required for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.



WEB COPY

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only)** with **two sureties**, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **Metropolitan Magistrate -V, Egmore Court** and on further conditions that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the Respondent Police everyday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down



Crl.O.P.No.7483 of 2025

WEB COPY

by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala***
[(2005)AIR SCW 5560];

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR
can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

14.03.2025

msv

To

1. The Metropolitan Magistrate -V,
Egmore Court
2. The Inspector Of Police
K2 Ayanavaram Police Station,
Chennai.
3. The Central Prison Jail-II, Puzhal
4. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.7483 of 2025

SUNDER MOHAN., J.

msv

Crl.O.P.No.7483 of 2025

14.03.2025