



Crl.OP.No.6957 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

WEB COPY

DATED : 11.03.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

Crl.O.P.No.6957 of 2025

Vijayaraj @ Vijay

.. Petitioner

Vs.

The State rep by
The Inspector of Police,
Ramanathapuram Police Station,
Coimbatore City.
(Crime No.60 of 2025)

.. Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event
of his arrest in Crime No.60 of 2025 on the file of the respondent Police.

For Petitioner : M/s.R.Parthiban

For Respondent : Mr.S.Santhosh
Government Advocate(Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent
police for the offences punishable under Section 189(2), 332(c), 305(e) and
115(2) of BNS 2023 r/w Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment



of Women Act, 2002 in Crime No.60 of 2025, seeks anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant was a tenant in the property belonging to the first accused; that the petitioner was running a Kadhar shop near the property belonging to the first accused; that the petitioner and the first accused wanted the defacto complainant to vacate the premises to enable the petitioner to run a shop; that the defacto complainant refused to vacate the premises and filed a civil suit; and that the petitioner filed a RCOP No.81 of 2025 before the Principal District Munsif Court, Coimbatore and the same was allowed directing the defacto complainant to vacate the premises within three months; that thereafter the petitioner along with the first accused trespassed into the defacto complainant's shop and committed theft.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the allegations are false; and that in any case, custodial interrogation is not required and sought for anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the




WEB COPY

respondent police, while opposing the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the stolen articles was recovered from the A1 and the petitioner.

5. Considering the nature of allegations, the fact that the alleged stolen articles were recovered, the fact that there is landlord-tenant dispute and since, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the **learned Additional Mahila Court, Coimbatore**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)** with two sureties for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:



CrI.OP.No.6957 of 2025

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioner shall appear before the respondent police twice a week at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;


[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the Petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the Petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**;

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

11.03.2025

Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
dpa



CrI.OP.No.6957 of 2025

To

WEB COPY

- 1.The Inspector of Police,
Ramanathapuram Police Station,
Coimbatore City.
2. The learned Additional Mahila Court,
Coimbatore
- 3.The Public Prosecutor,
Madras High Court,
Chennai.

SUNDER MOHAN , J.

dpa



WEB COPY



CrI.OP.No.6957 of 2025

CrI.O.P.No.6957 of 2025

11.03.2025