



Crl.O.P.No.7173 of 2025

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 12.03.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

Crl.O.P.No.7173 of 2025

Rakesh

... Petitioner/A11

Vs.

The State represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
D-1, Triplicane Police Station,
Chennai.
(Crime No.34 of 2025).

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of BNSS, pleaded to enlarge the petitioner on bail, in connection with the Crime No.34 of 2025, pending investigation on the file of the respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Vel Murugan

For Respondent : Mr.Leonard Arul Joseph Selvam
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed by the petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 23.01.2025, seeking bail in Crime No.34 of 2025 registered for the offence under Sections 8(C), 22(b) and 29(i) of NDPS At, 1985.



Crl.O.P.No.7173 of 2025

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other accused was found to be in illegal possession of Nitrovet Tablets - 44 Nos. Hence the case.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the contraband seized from the petitioner is an intermediate quantity and in any case, petitioner is in custody from 23.01.2025 and hence the petitioner may be released on bail.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) reiterated the prosecution case; that the contraband seized from the petitioner is an intermediate quantity and also submitted that the petitioner has 7 previous cases, out of which three cases are under NDPS Act and other cases are for IPC and the petitioner is on bail in those cases.

5. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) for the respondent Police and perused the materials available on record.



Crl.O.P.No.7173 of 2025

WEB COPY

6. Considering, the fact that the contraband seized from the petitioner is not a commercial quantity and that the rigors of Section 37 of NDPS Act would not be applicable against the petitioner; that the petitioner is on bail in other cases; and since further custody is not required for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only)** with **two sureties**, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **II Metropolitan Magistrate, Egmore, Chennai.**

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police, everyday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;



CrI.O.P.No.7173 of 2025

WEB COPY

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]***;

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

12.03.2025

Sma
To

1. II Metropolitan Magistrate, Egmore, Chennai.
2. The Inspector of Police,
D-1, Triplicane Police Station,
Chennai.
3. The Superintendent,
Central Prison, Puzhal.
4. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.7173 of 2025

SUNDER MOHAN., J.

Sma

Crl.O.P.No.7173 of 2025

12.03.2025