



W.P.No.9671 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

WEB COPY

DATED : 28.03.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE N.ANAND VENKATESH

W.P.No.9671 of 2025

Sadhasivam

.. Petitioner

Vs.

1.The District Collector,
Erode, Erode District.

2.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Nambiyur Taluk, Erode District.

3.The Thasildar,
Nambiyur Taluk,
Erode District.

4.The Revenue Inspector,
Nambiyur Taluk, Erode District.

5.Venkatachala Moorthy

6.Kumarasamy

.. Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the 2nd respondent authority to considered my objection dated 14.02.2025 and conduct enquiry before passing any order to cancel the land owner ship certificate dated 26.07.2022 issued by the 4th respondent.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.9671 of 2025

For petitioner : Mr.D.Nandhagopal
For RR 1 to 4 : Mr.P.Sathish
Additional Government Pleader

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed for the issue of writ of mandamus directing the 2nd respondent to consider the objection given by the petitioner on 14.02.2025, wherein the petitioner is seeking for cancellation of the ownership certificate issued by the 4th respondent.

2.Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Additional Government Pleader for the respondents 1 to 4.

3.In the considered view of this Court, there is no law which authorizes granting the ownership certificate and no authority is authorized to issue such ownership certificate. If at all any authority has given any such certificate, that by itself does not establish the ownership of any one unless it is traceable to any enactment which provides for such a procedure and also designates a particular authority to grant such certificate.



W.P.No.9671 of 2025

WEB COPY

4.The petitioner has approached this Court for issue of writ of mandamus and therefore, the petitioner has to establish his legal right. As held supra, there is no authority for any of the respondents to grant ownership certificate and in view of the same, there is no need for the 2nd respondent to deal with the representation made by the petitioner, wherein he is seeking for cancellation of the ownership certificate. The relief that has been sought for in this writ petition is misconceived. This Court does not find any merits in the case.

5.In the result, this Writ Petition is dismissed. No costs.

28.03.2025

krk

Index : Yes / No

Internet : Yes / No

Neutral Citation : Yes / No

To

1.The District Collector, Erode, Erode District.

2.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Nambiyur Taluk, Erode District.

3.The Thasildar, Nambiyur Taluk, Erode District.

4.The Revenue Inspector, Nambiyur Taluk, Erode District.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.9671 of 2025

N.ANAND VENKATESH, J.

krk

W.P.No.9671 of 2025

28.03.2025