



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 17-03-2025

WEB COPY

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE J.SATHYA NARAYANA PRASAD

WP No. 9159 of 2025

and

WMP.No.10262 of 2025

A.Bhaskaran

Petitioner(s)


Vs

1. The District Collector,
Office of District Collector,
Vengakkil, Tiruvannamalai,
Tiruvannamalai District.

2.The Tahsildar,
Office of The Collector,
Chengam Taluk,
Tiruvannamalai District.

3.The Block Development Officer,
Office of the Block Development
Pudupalayam, Chengam Taluk,
Tiruvannamalai District.

4.The Village Administrative Officer,
Office of Village Administrative
Chengam Taluk, Alliyandal,
Tiruvannamalai District.



5.R. Manohar,

S/o Raman, Former District Councilor

Panaiolaipadi Village, Padi Agraharam

Post, Chengam Taluk,

Tiruvannamalai District.

Respondent(s)

PRAYER Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India seeking for an issuance of writ of mandamus to direct the respondents not to erect any road in the petitioner's private property situated at Tiruvannamalai District and Registration District, Kadaladi Sub Registration, Chengam Taluk, Alliyathal Village comprised in Survey no 22/7A2 measuring 0.15 cents in totally 0.17 cents.

For Petitioner(s): Ms.Kavitha. P

For Respondent(s): Mr.N.Naveen Kumar
Government Advocate for R1 to R4

ORDER

The writ petition is filed to direct the respondents not to erect any road in the petitioner's private property situated at Tiruvannamalai District and Registration District, Kadaladi Sub Registration, Chengam Taluk, Alliyathal Village comprised in Survey no 22/7A2 measuring 0.15 cents in totally 0.17 cents.



WEB COPY

2. Learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that road is being laid in the petitioner's property and in one portion, he has given a way for common pathway. Further he would submit that Patta also stands in the name of the petitioner *vide* Patta No.397.

3. Learned Counsel for the petitioner would further submit that the representation dated 24.02.2025. was given to all the respondents and no action has been taken till date.

4. Learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondents 1 to 4 would submit that today, the second respondent is conducting field inspection and the representation of the petitioner dated 24.02.2025 will be considered on merits, and in accordance with law, within a time frame that may be stipulated by this Court.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.



6. The second and third respondents are directed to consider the above

representation of the petitioner dated 24.02.2025, after giving due notice to the

petitioner and to the fifth respondent and after providing opportunity of personal

hearing shall pass appropriate orders on merits, and in accordance with law,

within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

There shall an order of *status quo* till the representation of the petitioner is

disposed of by the second and third respondents.

With the above direction and observation, the Writ Petition stands
disposed of. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is
closed.

17-03-2025


veda

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No



WEB COPY

1. The District Collector

Office Of District Collector, Vengakkil,
Tiruvannamalai, Tiruvannamalai
District

2. The Tahsildar

Office Of The Collector Chengam
Taluk, Tiruvannamalai District

3. The Block Development Officer

Office Of The Block Development
Pudupalayam, Chengam Taluk,
Tiruvannamalai District

4. The Village Administrative Officer

Office Of Village Administrative
Chengam Taluk, Alliyandal,
Tiruvannamalai District

5. R. Manohar

S/o Raman Former District Councilor
Panaiolaipadi Village, Padi Agraharam
Post, Chengam Taluk, Tiruvannamalai
District



WEB COPY

WP No. 9159 of 2



J.SATHYA NARAYANA PRASAD J.

veda

WP No. 9159 of 2025

17-03-2025