



WEB COPY

CRL OP Nos. 6833, 15255 & 15898 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 30-10-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

CRL OP Nos. 6833, 15255 & 15898 of 2025

CRL OP No.6833 of 2025

1. M. Gopalakrishnan

Son of Mani, No.14/6, Periyar Street,
Chinmaya Nagar, Kulasekarapuram,
Virugambakkam, Chennai 600 092.

2. GOWTHAM

S/o.Kumar, No.665, 3rd Floor,
Dr.Radhakrishnan Road, Tatabad,
Coimbatore South. Coimbatore - 641
012

3. Krishnamurthy

Son of Perumal Plot No.91, 1st Floor,
Unmai Nagar 15t Main Road,
Keelkattalai, S.Kolathur, Chennai 600
119.

4. GANGA

W/o.Gopalakrishnan, No.14/6, Periyar
Street, Chinmaya Nagair,
Kulasekarapuram, Virugambakkam,
Chennai 600 092.

Petitioner(s)



Vs

State Rep.By, The Through The
Inspector Of Police,
Beta-1, EDF-1, Central Crime Branch-
I. O/o. Police Commissioner Office, 1st
Floor, Room No.1C, Vepery Chennai
600 007.

Respondent(s)

CRL OP No. 15255 of 2025

V Divya

Petitioner(s)

Vs

Inspector of Police
Beta- 1, EDF - 1, Central Crime Branch
- 1, Police Commissioner office, Ist
floor, Room no IC, Vepery Chennai - 07

Respondent(s)

CRL OP No. 15898 of 2025

Banupriya Balasundaram

Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Rep. by
The Inspector of Police, Central Crime
Branch, EDF-I, Beta-I, Vepery,



Chennai-7, Crime No.34 of 2025

Respondent(s)

WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 6833 of 2025

PRAYER Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event of their arrest by the respondent in respect of Crime No. 34 of 2025 pending on the file of the respondent

CRL OP No. 15255 of 2025

PRAYER Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to grant Anticipatory Bail to the Petitioner, in the event of his arrest, concerned in Cr.No.34 of 2025, on the file of the Respondent Police.

CRL OP No. 15898 of 2025

PRAYER Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on Bail in the event of her arrest in Cr.No.34/2025 on the file of the Inspector of Police Central Crime Branch EDF-I, Beta-I, Vepery Chennai.

CRL OP No. 6833 of 2025

For Petitioner(s): Peranban G
For Intervenor Mr.Arun Anbumani

For Respondent(s): Mr.S.Udayakumar
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)



WEB COPY

CRL OP Nos. 6833, 15255 & 15898 of 202



CRL OP No. 15255 of 2025

For Petitioner: Mr.Selvadass. S.

For Intervenor: Mr.Arun Anbumani

For Respondent(s): Mr.S.Udayakumar
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

CRL OP No. 15898 of 2025

For Petitioner: Mr.P.Ram Kumar

For Intervenor: Mr.Arun Anbumani

For Respondent(s): Mr.S.Udayakumar
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)



COMMON ORDER

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest for the alleged offence under Sections 408, 420, 477A, 109, 120B of IPC 1860, in Cr.No.34 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The allegation against the petitioners is that the petitioner in Crl.OP No.15255 of 2025 is ranked as A4, 4th petitioner in Crl OP No.6833 of 2025 is ranked as A6 and the petitioner in Crl OP No.15898 of 2025 is ranked as A7.

The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant was running a Dina Color Lab and New Color India Films. They used to sell the various kinds of Camera and also doing a lab work and digital printing work etc. A1 to A4 have worked under various capacities in the Lab. Further, it is stated that A1 was involved in buying and selling Cameras on wholesale basis and supplied to various branches. It was assisted by A2 and A3 for the purpose of sale, accounting, purchasing and sale etc. They colluded and started to sell the various Cameras from the shop at under-rated prices to some other accused companies and collected money from them. Similarly, they had also involved in



diverting the funds by using their own personal Gpay and other Mobile App and to the accounts of their family members including A6 who is the wife of A1 and A7 who is the wife of A2. It has been audited and found that to the extent of Rs.20 Crores have been misappropriated.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner for A6 submits that she is the wife of A1 and she is an uneducated and her account was fully operated by her husband and she was not aware about the banking transactions made by her husband and though it is stated that huge amounts have been transacted, she was not personally benefited from the money and further submitted that she had already appeared several times before the Investigating Officer for the purpose of investigation and further submitted that she is ready to co-operate with the investigation and prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner/A6.

4.The learned counsel for the petitioner for A7 has also placed the similar arguments that she is the wife of A2 and her bank account was also operated by her husband/A2 and she was not having any personal knowledge about the banking transactions that were taken place and she is being a lady, the learned



counsel for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner/A7.

WEB COPY

5. The learned counsel for the petitioner/A4 submitted that she is only the in-charge of accounts and bills and she has been working as per the instructions of A1 to A3 and she was not benefited of any amount that was said to have been misappropriated and further submitted that she had also appeared several times before the Investigating Officer on the summons issued under Section 35 (A) of the BNS Act and she is also ready to co-operate with the investigation, hence, the learned counsel prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner/A4.

6. The learned counsel for the Intervenor submitted that though it is stated that the petitioners herein are ladies and they have not having direct knowledge about the transactions, A6 and A7 though stated that they are the wives of A1 and A2 respectively, huge amount to the extent of Rs.2.45 Crores and Rs.4.2 Crores have been transacted through their accounts. Further, A1 and A2 were arrested and they have also categorically stated that the entire money were transacted through the petitioners' accounts and subsequently the money was once again transferred from these petitioners' account to other accounts and so



far no money is recovered. He further submitted that as far as A4 is concerned she is also benefited from the accounts transacted and the statements were recorded from the arrested accused and so far no money is recovered and if the petitioners are granted anticipatory bail, there is a likelihood of hampering the investigation and there is no possibility of recovering the money. Hence, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) for the respondent/ police reported that totally 12 accused are involved in this case and A1 to A4 are the main accused and they have directly involved in fabrication of records, falsification of accounts, and misappropriation of funds. Further, he submitted that huge amount to the extent of nearly Rs.9 Crores are with these petitioners and so far no amount is recovered even though they were able to arrest A1 to A3 and only 65 sovereigns of gold and also some of the cars alone have been recovered and the investigation is pending in this case. Hence, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6.Though the arguments of the learned counsel for the petitioners would



attract to the extent that they are the ladies and their accounts have been misused by their husbands, on deeper scrutiny of the allegations, it reveals that huge amount to the extent of several Crores have been transacted from their accounts and it is further revealed that there is no money so far recovered even after arresting A1 to A3 and only 65 sovereigns of gold and some of the cars have been recovered and still the investigation is pending, I am of the view that if the petitioners are granted anticipatory bail, it will be hampering of investigation and accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

30-10-2025

1/2

GBI

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No



CRL OP No. 6833 of 2025

WEB COPY

To

1.State Rep.By, The Through The
Inspector Of Police,
Beta-1, EDF-1, Central Crime Branch-
I. O/o. Police Commissioner Office, 1st
Floor, Room No.1C, Vepery Chennai
600 007.

CRL OP No. 15255 of 2025

To

1.Inspector of Police
Beta- 1, EDF - 1, Central Crime Branch
- 1, Police Commissioner office, I't
floor, Room no IC, Vepery Chennai - 07

CRL OP No. 15898 of 2025

To

1.The State Rep. by
Beta-1, EDF-1, Central Crime Branch-
I.
O/o.Police Commissioner Office,
1st Floor, Room No. 1C, Vepery
Chennai 600 007.
2.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP Nos. 6833, 15255 & 15898 of 202





WEB COPY

CRL OP Nos. 6833, 15255 & 15898 of 202



K.RAJASEKAR J.
gbi

**CRL OP No. 6833 of
2025
AND CRL OP NO.
15255 OF 2025, CRL OP
NO. 15898 OF 2025**

30-10-2025