



Crl.O.P.Nos.7033 and 6920 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS
DATED : 28.03.2025

WEB COPY

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE JUSTICE Mr.SUNDER MOHAN

Crl.O.P.Nos 6920 and 7033 of 2025

Crl.O.P.No.6920 of 2025

Karthikeyan

... Petitioner/A1

Crl.O.P.No.7033 of 2025

1. Vellaingiri
2. Udhaya Chandrika
3. Karthiga

...Petitioners/A2-A4

Vs.

1. The State rep by
The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Ammamet, Salem City.
(Cr.No.59 of 2023)
2. Vishnupriya

...R1

...R2/De facto complainant

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of the BNSS Act, to call for the records and to quash the final report/ charge sheet in C.C.No.13 of 2025, on the file of the learned Additional Mahila Court, Salem.

For petitioner : Mr.T Saikrishnan

for both Crl.O.Ps

For R1 : Mr.S.Santhosh,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

For R2 : Mr.N.V.Prasanna



COMMON ORDER

WEB COPY

In view of the commonality of the facts involved, both these Criminal Original petitions are considered and decided by this Common Order.

These criminal original petitions are filed to quash the final report/charge sheet in C.C.No.13 of 2025, on the file of the Additional Mahila Court, Salem.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the parties have arrived at a compromise and a joint memo of compromise has been entered into between both the parties, and that the final report may therefore be quashed.

3. The de facto complainant/ second respondent is present in person and is identified by the learned counsel for the petitioner and she produced a copy of her Aadhar Card. She confirms the fact that the parties have arrived at a compromise and entered into a joint compromise memo. A scanned copy of joint compromise memo entered into between the parties, is as follows:



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.Nos.7033 and 6920 of 2025

JOINT COMPROMISE MEMO FILED BY THE PETITIONERS AND 2ND RESPONDENT

The Petitioners and the 2nd Respondent respectfully submit as follows:

1. The petitioners respectfully submit that the above Crl.O.P. is filed to quash the Final Report/ Charge Sheet in C.C.No.13 of 2025 on the file of the Learned Additional Mahila Court, Salem for the alleged offences under section 498(A) of IPC.
2. Both parties respectfully submit that the 1st petitioner is the father-in-law, the 2nd petitioner is the mother-in-law and the 3rd petitioner is the sister-in-law of the De-Facto Complainant. The husband, who is the 1st accused in C.C.No.13 of 2025 and the 2nd Respondent/De-Facto Complainant herein have amicably settled their disputes and a compromise has been recorded before this Hon'ble Court.
3. As per the clauses of the Compromise Memo filed before this Hon'ble Court, the Petitioner/1st accused therein and the 2nd respondent agreed to withdraw their complaints lodged against the Petitioners herein. Moreover, the complaint was with regards to their matrimonial life, which now has come to an end and since nothing survives between the Petitioners herein and the 2nd Respondent, she want to withdraw the above complaint.
4. It is respectfully submitted that under the above stated circumstances, the Petitioners and the 2nd Respondent/De-Facto Complainant herein are praying for the leave of this Hon'ble Court to compound the offence and to set the Petitioners free in the above Final Report/ Charge Sheet in C.C.No.13 of 2025.

It is therefore most humbly prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to call for records and to quash the Final Report/ Charge Sheet in C.C.No.13 of 2025, on the file of the Learned Additional mahila Court,

S. Velupillai
S. S. S. S.
v. K. S. S.

hust.



CrI.O.P.Nos.7033 and 6920 of 2025

WEB COPY

Salem as prayed for in the above Criminal Original Petition and pass such further or other orders and thus render justice.

Dated at Chennai on this the 28th day of February, 2025

S. Velumani
1st Petitioner

S. R. Senthil
2nd Petitioner


V. Karthi
3rd Petitioner

[Signature]
Counsel for Petitioner

[Signature]
2nd Respondent

[Signature]
Counsel for 2nd Respondent

4. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, and since the case arises out of a matrimonial dispute, in view of the compromise arrived at between the parties, no useful purpose would be served in allowing the trial to proceed further.



Crl.O.P.Nos.7033 and 6920 of 2025

6. Therefore, the proceedings in C.C.No.13 of 2025, on the file of the Additional Mahila Court, Salem are quashed.

7. In the result, these criminal original petitions are allowed.

28.03.2025

vca

Internet : Yes/No
Index : Yes/No
Citation : Yes/No



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.Nos.7033 and 6920 of 2025

SUNDER MOHAN,J.

Vca

To:

1. The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Ammamet, Salem City.
2. The Additional Mahila Court,
Salem.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

Crl.O.P.Nos.7033 and 6920 of 2025

28.03.2025