



CRP.981 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

WEB COPY

DATED: 20-03-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

CRP No. 981 of 2025

and CMP.No.5611 of 2025

R.Ravikumar
S/o.M.Radhakrishnan,
No.198/1, 4th Cross Street,
Natesan Nagar, Virugambakkam,
Chennai-600092

..Petitioner

Vs

P.Kabali
Flat No.3, Sri Sai Enclave 22/46,
4th Street, KrishnaNagar, Virugambakkam,
Chennai-600092

..Respondent

Prayer: Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India against the impugned docket order dated 12.02.2025 in M.P.(SR.).No.6199 of 2025 in R.L.T.O.P.No.747 of 2022 passed by the learned XIV Small Causes Judge, Chennai.

For Petitioner: Mr.S.Shankar For
M/s.S.Subramanian

For Respondent: M/s.S.Aswini



CRP.981 of 2025

ORDER

WEB COPY

The learned counsel for the petitioner/tenant seeks permission to withdraw the Revision Petition.

2. The learned counsel for the respondent/landlord would submit that the Civil Revision Petition itself has been filed to delay the progress in RLTOP.No.747 of 2022. She would further submit that when the matter was posted for evidence on the side of the respondent/tenant, he has approached this court by filing this Civil Revision Petition with an intention to protract the proceedings. Therefore, she would seek that in such circumstances, a direction may be issued to the concerned learned Judge, to complete the proceedings and deliver the judgment within a specified period.

3. The learned counsel would also submit that since the petitioner/tenant was continuously delaying the process, the respondent/landlord had already approached this court by filing CRP.No.3319 pf 2024 and this Court by order dated 22.08.2024 had directed the learned XIV Judge, Small Causes Court, to proceed with the RLTOP No.747 of 2022 and



CRP.981 of 2025

shall expedite the proceedings at all stages. Further, she would also submit that the tenant was in arrears of rent to the tune of Rs.3,36,000/-.

4. In reply, Mr.S.Shankar, learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the Rent Controller had closed the evidence on the side of the respondent. He would further submit that if one chance is given, the petitioner/respondent would let in evidence on that day and he would cooperate for the speedy disposal of the RLTOP.

5. In view of the above discussion, this Civil Revision Petition is dismissed as withdrawn. However, a direction is issued to the learned XIV Judge, Court of XIV Small Causes, Chennai, to give one opportunity to the respondent/tenant to let in evidence on his side on a fixed date. If the revision petitioner fails to let in evidence and complete his evidence on that day, the concerned court shall proceed and pass orders as expeditiously as possible, preferably, within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. No costs. Consequently, connected CMP is closed.

20-03-2025



CRP.981 of 2025

WEB COPY

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

nvsri

To
The XIV Small Causes Judge, Chennai.

C.R.P.No.981 of 2025

20.03.2025