



WEB COPY



HCP.No.436 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 16.06.2025

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.S. RAMESH

AND

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V. LAKSHMINARAYANAN

H.C.P.No.436 of 2025

Rinku Kumari

Petitioner(s) /wife of the detenue

Vs

1. State Of Tamilnadu Rep.By, The
Additional Chief Secretary To
Government
Home, Prohibition And Excise
Department, Fort St.George, Chennai-
600 009.

2.District Magistrate And District
Collector Of Villupuram,
Office Of The District Magistrate And
District Collector,
Vilupuram District.

3.The Superintendent Of Police
Villupuram, Villupuram District.

4.The Superintendent Of Prison
Central Prison, Cuddalore,
Cuddalore District.



HCP.No.436 of 2025

5. The Inspector Of Police
Roshanai Police Station,
Villupuram District.

WEB COPY

Respondent(s)

PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a writ of Habeas Corpus, calling for the records relating to the detention order in C2/06/2025, dated 11.02.2025 passed by the 2nd respondent under the Tamilnadu Act 14 of 1982 and set aside the same and direct the respondents to produce the petitioner's husband Jothiraj, s/o.Boormal, aged about 37 years, confined at Central prison, Cuddalore, before this court and set him at liberty.

For Petitioner	: Mr.P.M.Jayachandran
For Respondents	: Mr.E.Raj Thilak Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

M.S.RAMESH, J.
and
V. LAKSHMINARAYANAN, J.

The petitioner herein, who is the wife of the detenu, Jothiraj, s/o.Boormal, aged about 37 years, confined at Central prison, Cuddalore, has come forward with this petition challenging the detention order passed



HCP.No.436 of 2025

by the second respondent dated 11.02.2025 issued against her husband, branding him as "Drug Offender" under the Tamil Nadu Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Bootleggers, Cyber Law Offenders, Drug Offenders, Forest Offenders, Goondas, Immoral Traffic Offenders, Sand Offenders, Sexual Offenders, Slum Grabbers and Video Pirates Act, 1982 [Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982].

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, as well as the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents.

3. Though several grounds are raised in the petition, the learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that there is an inordinate delay in passing the order of detention.

4. In the instant case, the detenu was arrested on 11.01.2025 and thereafter, the detention order came to be passed on 11.02.2025. This fact is not disputed by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor.



WEB COPY

5. In the case of '**Sushanta Kumar Banik Vs. State of Tripura**', reported in '**2022 LiveLaw (SC) 813**', when there was an inordinate delay from the date of proposal till passing of the detention order and likewise, between the date of detention order and the actual arrest, the Hon'ble Supreme Court had held that the live and proximate link, between the grounds and the purpose of detention, stands snapped in arresting the detenu. The relevant observation of the Hon'ble Supreme Court is extracted hereunder:-

“20. It is manifestly clear from a conspectus of the above decisions of this Court, that the underlying principle is that if there is unreasonable delay between the date of the order of detention & actual arrest of the detenu and in the same manner from the date of the proposal and passing of the order of detention, such delay unless satisfactorily explained throws a considerable doubt on the genuineness of the requisite subjective satisfaction of the detaining authority in passing the detention order and consequently render the detention order bad and invalid because the “live and proximate link” between the grounds of detention and the purpose of detention is snapped in arresting the detenu. A question whether the delay is unreasonable and stands unexplained depends on the facts and



HCP.No.436 of 2025

circumstances of each case.”

WEB COPY

6. Drawing inspiration from the judgment in ***Sushanta Kumar Banik's case***, a co-ordinate Bench of this Court in the case of '***Gomathi Vs. Principal Secretary to Government and Others***', reported in '***2023 SCC OnLine Mad 6332***', had held that when there is an inordinate delay from the date of arrest/date of proposal till the order of detention, the live and proximate link between them would also stand snapped and thereby, had quashed the detention order on this ground.

7. In yet another case i.e., in '***Nagaraj Vs. State of Tamil Nadu***', reported in '***(2018) 3 MWN (Cri) 428***', this Court had held that the delay of 36 days in passing the detention order after the arrest of the detenu would snap the live and proximate link between the grounds and purpose of detention. Hence, in view of the unexplained and inordinate delay in passing the order of detention, after the arrest of the detenu, the detention order in the present case, is liable to be quashed.



HCP.No.436 of 2025

8. Accordingly, the detention order passed by the second respondent on 11.02.2025 in C2/06/2025, is hereby set aside and the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed. The detenu viz., Jothiraj, s/o.Boormal, aged about 37 years, confined at Central prison, Cuddalore, is directed to be set at liberty forthwith, unless his confinement is required in connection with any other case.

[M.S.R., J] [V.L.N., J]
16.06.2025

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Internet: Yes/No
Neutral Citation: Yes/No
Anu

To

1. The Additional Chief Secretary To
Government
Home, Prohibition And Excise
Department, Fort St.George, Chennai-
600 009.

2.District Magistrate And District
Collector Of Villupuram,
Office Of The District Magistrate And
District Collector,
Vilupuram District.



HCP.No.436 of 2025

WEB COPY

3.The Superintendent Of Police
Villupuram, Villupuram District.

4.The Superintendent Of Prison
Central Prison, Cuddalore,
Cuddalore District.

5.The Inspector Of Police
Roshanai Police Station,
Villupuram District.

6.The Joint Secretary,
Law and Order Department,
Secretariat, Chennai

7.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY



HCP.No.436 of 2025

M.S.RAMESH, J.
and
V. LAKSHMINARAYANAN, J.
Anu

H.C.P.No.436 of 2025

16.06.2025