



Crl. O.P. No.6112 of 2025

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 05.03.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

Crl.O.P.No.6112 of 2025

Senthamari W/o. Venkatesan

... Petitioner/Accused

Vs.

The State represented by-

The Inspector of Police,
Chengam Police Station,
Tiruvannamalai District.
(Crime No.69 of 2025).

... Respondent


PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of BNSS, pleaded to enlarge the petitioner on bail, in connection with the Crime No.69 of 2025, pending investigation on the file of the respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Mr. M. Sivakumar

For Respondent : Mr. Leonard Arul Joseph Selvam
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed by the petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 23.02.2025, seeking bail in Crime No.69 of 2025 registered for the offences under Sections 275 and 123 of B.N.S. and Section 24(1) of Cigarette and other Tobacco Products Act, 2003.



Crl. O.P. No.6112 of 2025

WEB COPY


2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner was found to be in illegal possession of banned tobacco products viz., Hans 6 packets, Vimal - 60 and RMD pan masala 60 packets. Hence the case.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that petitioner is in custody from 23.02.2025; and that since the contraband has been seized, further custody of the petitioner is not required and hence prayed for grant of bail.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police, while opposing for grant of bail to the petitioner, submitted that the petitioner has 5 previous case, out of which, 4 cases were disposed of and one case is pending, in which, he is on bail.

5. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) for the respondent Police and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the nature of allegations, period of incarceration, contraband has been seized, the petitioner is on bail in one another previous case and since



Crl. O.P. No.6112 of 2025

further custody is not required for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only)** with **two sureties**, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Chengam, Tiruvannamalai District.**

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police, everyday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down



Crl. O.P. No.6112 of 2025

WEB COPY

by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala***
[(2005)AIR SCW 5560];

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be
registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

05.03.2025

mjs

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate,
Chengam, Tiruvannamalai District..
2. The Inspector of Police,
Chengam Police Station,
Tiruvannamalai District. .
3. The Superintendent of Police,
Central Prison, Vellore.
4. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl. O.P. No.6112 of 2025

SUNDER MOHAN., J.

mjs

Crl.O.P.No.6112 of 2025

05.03.2025