



WEB COPY



CRL OP NO.6076 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: **05.03.2025**

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

CRL OP NO.6076 of 2025

R.Chandrasekaran

Petitioner

Vs

State Rep By,
The Inspector Of Police
Namakkal District
(Cr.No 21 of 2025)

Respondent(s)

Prayer:

Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 to enlarge the petitioner / Accused on anticipatory bail in the event of arrest in Crime No. 21 of 2025 pending on the file of the respondent police.

For petitioner(s): Mr.Iyyapparaj

For Respondent(s): Mr.S.Santhosh, Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 329(3), 324(4), 296(b), 118(1), 351(3) of the BNS in Crime No. 21 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.



CRL OP NO.6076 of 2025

2. The case of the prosecution is that on account of prior enmity, the petitioner assaulted the defacto complainant and abused him in filthy language.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the allegations are false; that there is a counter case and that in any case, custodial interrogation is not required and sought for anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police, while opposing the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, reiterated the prosecution case and on instructions submitted that there is a counter case and that the petitioner has no bad antecedents.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) for the respondent and also perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the nature of the allegations, the fact that there is a counter case, the petitioner has no bad antecedents and since,



CRL OP NO.6076 of 2025

custodial interrogation is not required for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before **Judicial Magistrate Thiruchengode** on condition that the petitioner shall execute a separate bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)** with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police every day at 10:30 a.m., until further orders;

SUNDER MOHAN, J.



WEB COPY



CRL OP NO.6076 of 2025

vca

[c]the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d]the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e]On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f]If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

05.03.2025

vca

To

1. The Inspector Of Police
Namakkal District
(Cr.No 21 of 2025)
2. The Judicial Magistrate Thiruchengode
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court Madras.

CRL OP NO.6076 of 2025