



*Cr1.O.P.No.6045 of 2025*

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 04.03.2025

CORAM

**THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN**

Cr1.O.P.No.6045 of 2025

Saravanan @ Velavan

... Petitioner

Vs.

State Rep. by  
The Inspector of Police  
Ranipet Police Station  
Ranipet District.  
(Crime No.54 of 2025)

... Respondent


**PRAYER:** Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of BNSS, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in Crime No.54 of 2025 on the file of the respondent.

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Muruganantham

For Respondent : Mr.Leonard Arul Joseph Selvam  
Learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

### **ORDER**

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed by the petitioner/A1, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 12.02.2025, seeking bail in Crime No.54 of 2025 registered for the offence under Section 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 127(2), 318(4), 351 (3) of BNS u/s.294(b), 323, 324, 342, 420, 506(ii) of IPC.



Cr1.O.P.No.6045 of 2025

2.The case of the prosecution is that petitioner/A1 had promised the De facto Complainant that he would obtain Government Job for his wife and engineering college seat for his daughter and had totally received a sum of Rs.8,00,000/- through A2.

3.Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case; that the allegations are false; that there are money transactions between the parties; that the De facto Complainant had made it appear as a case of job racketing; that the petitioner is in custody from 12.02.2025 and he is ready to abide by any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court. He also submitted that to show his bonafides, without prejudice to his defence, the petitioner is prepared to deposit an amount of Rs.2,00,000/- to the credit of crime number concerned. Hence, he prayed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4.Learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police, while opposing for grant of bail to the petitioner, reiterated the prosecution case, and submitted that the money was paid in cash.

5.Considering the voluntary submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner is directed to deposit a sum of **Rs.2,00,000/- (Rupees Two Lakh only)** to the credit of Crime No.54 of 2025. The learned counsel for the petitioner fairly submitted that the said amount may be disbursed



Cr1.O.P.No.6045 of 2025

to the de facto complainant. Hence, on such deposit, the learned Magistrate concerned shall disburse the said amount of Rs.2,00,000/- to the de facto complainant, if a petition is filed.

6.Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Cr1. Side) for the respondent Police and perused the materials available on record.

7.Considering the above said facts, submissions made on either side, nature of allegations, period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, and since further custody is not required for the purpose of interrogation, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

8.Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only)** with **two sureties**, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate at Ranipet, and on further conditions that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall deposit Rs.2,00,000/- to the credit of Crime No.54 of 2025 as undertaken by him.

**[c] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police, everyday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;**



Cr1.O.P.No.6045 of 2025

WEB COPY

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]***;

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

04.03.2025

sai



WEB COPY

To

1.The District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate at Ranipet.

2.The Inspector of Police  
Ranipet Police Station  
Ranipet District

3.The Superintendent,  
Central Prison, Vellore.

4.The Public Prosecutor,  
High Court of Madras.



*Cr1.O.P.No.6045 of 2025*

**SUNDER MOHAN, J.**

*sai*

Cr1.O.P.No.6045 of 2025

04.03.2025