



WEB COPY



W.P.No.7553 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 04.03.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE **G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN**

W.P.No.7553 of 2025

R.Ganeshamoorthy

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Director General of Police,
Office of the Director General of Police,
Radhakrishnan Salai,
Chennai - 600009.

2. The Inspector of Police,
City Crime Branch-II,
Coimbatore,
Coimbatore District.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution praying to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents not to harass the petitioner in guise of enquiry which is civil dispute in nature based upon the representation of the petitioner dated 03.02.2025.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Sricharan Rangarajan,
Senior Counsel for
Mr.S.Ramsundarvijayraj

For Respondents: Mr.A.Gopinath,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)



W.P.No.7553 of 2025

WEB COPY

ORDER

This petition has been filed seeking direction to the respondents police not to harass the petitioner in connection with representation of the petitioner dated 03.02.2025, which is a civil dispute.

2. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the respondents police harassed the petitioner under the guise of enquiry.

3. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondents police submits that on the complaint given by the defacto complainant against the petitioner, petition enquiry is pending in Current Paper No.19 of 2025 on the file of the 2nd respondent police

4. Heard the learned counsel appearing on either side and perused the materials placed on record.

5. It is the grievance of the petitioner that the 2nd respondent police has been harassing him under the guise of an enquiry/investigation




W.P.No.7553 of 2025

WEB COPY

and hence, has invoked the inherent powers of this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

6. An enquiry into a non cognizable offence or a cognizable offence is the unfettered powers of the Investigation Officers so long as the power to investigate/enquiry into these offences are legitimately exercised within the frame work of Chapter XIII of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023. Though the Sanhita empowers the Magistrate to be a guardian in all the stages of the police investigation, there is no power envisaging him to interfere with the actual investigation or the mode of investigation. It is in this background that numerous petitions complaining of harassment are being reported and filed before this Court seeking for directions to refrain the police officials from harassing the persons named in a complaint.

7. This Court, exercising its power under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, normally would not interfere with the investigation conducted by a police officer. Nevertheless, it would also not turn a



W.P.No.7553 of 2025

WEB COPY

blind eye to instances of harassment by the police under the guise of investigation is brought to its notice.

8. In the present case in hand, the petitioner has complained of harassment by the police based on a complaint and seek for this Court's intervention by way of a direction. The term 'harassment' by itself has a very wide meaning and hence, what could be harassment to the petitioner may not be the same to the police officer.

9. In order to circumvent such situations, the following guidelines are issued:

a) While summoning any person named in the complaint or any witness to the incident complained of, the police officer shall summon such person through a written summon under Section 179 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, specifying a particular date and time for appearing before them for such an enquiry/investigation.

b) The minutes of the enquiry shall be recorded in the general diary/station diary/daily diary of the police station.



W.P.No.7553 of 2025

WEB COPY

c)The police officer shall refrain himself or herself from harassing persons called upon for enquiry/investigation.

d)The guidelines stipulated for preliminary enquiry or registration of FIR by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Lalita Kumari Vs. Government of Uttar Pradesh and others [2014 (2) SCC (1)]** shall be strictly adhered to.

10. With the above observations and direction, the Writ Petition stands disposed of. No costs.

04.03.2025

Index : Yes/No
Neutral citation : Yes/No
Speaking/non-speaking order

To

1. The Director General of Police,
Office of the Director General of Police,
Radhakrishnan Salai,
Chennai - 600009.
2. The Inspector of Police,
City Crime Branch-II,
Coimbatore,
Coimbatore District.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.7553 of 2025

G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J.

kv

W.P.No.7553 of 2025

04.03.2025