



WEB COPY

CRL OP NO. 5891 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 04.03.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

CRL OP NO. 5891 of 2025

1. Sathasivam
2. Periyasami
3. Ravikumar
4. Ramesh Krishnamoorthy
5. Ravanam Angamuthu
6. Kumaraguru Annamalai
7. Prabhu

Petitioners/A2 to A6, A10 & A11

Vs

The State Rep By
The Inspector of Police
Tittakudi Police Station
Cuddalore, Tamil Nadu
(Crime No.47 of 2025)

Respondent

Prayer:

Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 to enlarge the petitioners/Accused on anticipatory bail in the event of arrest in Crime No. 47 of 2025 pending on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioners	:	Mr.R.Vivekananthan
For Respondent	:	Mr.S.Santhosh, Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

**ORDER**

WEB COPY The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 296(A), 115(2), 324(4) and 351(3) of the BNS in Crime No.47 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on account of dispute between the wife of the deceased and the brother of the deceased, a quarrel arose between the parties and the first accused, who is the brother of the deceased along with his relatives/petitioners, abused the wife of the deceased and her sons in filthy language and damaged the windshield of the de facto complainant's ambulance. Hence, the de facto complainant, who is the Driver of the ambulance, has lodged the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the allegations are false; that the co-accused/A1 & A8 were granted anticipatory bail and that in any case, custodial interrogation is not required and sought for anticipatory bail for the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing




for the respondent police, while opposing the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners, reiterated the prosecution case and on instructions submitted that the petitioners have no bad antecedents.

WEB COPY

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the nature of the allegations, the fact that the petitioners have no bad antecedents and since custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not required for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready and before **the learned Judicial Magistrate Court, Tittakudi** on condition that the petitioners shall execute a separate bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten**



WEB COPY

Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on proof of payment of deposits, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a]the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.


[b] the petitioners shall deposit a sum of Rs.10,000/- to the credit of the Crime No.47 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

[c] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police as and when required.

[d]the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[e]the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[f]On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid



WEB COPY

CRL OP NO. 5891 of 2025



down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs.
State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g]If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be
registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

04.03.2025

vca

SUNDER MOHAN, J.
vca



WEB COPY

CRL OP NO. 5891 of 2025



To

1. The Inspector of Police
Tittakudi Police Station
Cuddalore, Tamil Nadu
2. Judicial Magistrate Court, Tittakudi.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court Madras.

CRL OP NO. 5891 of 2025

04.03.2025