



WEB COPY



Cr1.O.P.No.5863 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 03.03.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

Cr1.O.P.No.5863 of 2025

1.Talakara Vijaya
2.Mekala Rajaji
3.Lakshmi

... Petitioners

Vs.

State by
The Sub-Inspector of Police
CSCID Chennai North Unit
Chennai. (Crime No.43 of 2025).

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of BNSS, to enlarge the petitioners on bail in Crime No.43 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.A.Vivek Ram

For Respondent : Mr.Leonard Arul Joseph Selvam
Government Advocate (Cr1.Side)

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed by the petitioners/A1 to A3, who were arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 09.02.2025, seeking bail in Crime No.43 of 2025 registered for the offence under Sections 6(4) of TNSC (RDCS) Order 1982 r/w. 7(1)(a)(II) of EC Act 1955

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners were found to have



Cr1.O.P.No.5863 of 2025

illegally transported 1100 kgs of PDS rice, worth about Rs.6,050/-


WEB COPY

3.Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He also submitted that the petitioners are in custody from 09.02.2025 and they are ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed for grant of bail to the petitioners.

4.Learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police, while opposing for grant of bail to the petitioners, reiterated the case of the prosecution. He further submitted that the petitioners 1 & 2 are having previous cases, which are similar in nature against them and the third petitioner has no previous case against him.

5.Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) for the respondent Police and perused the materials available.

6.Considering the nature of allegations, period of incarceration, the quantity of contraband seized in this case, the fact that the third petitioner has no bad antecedents and the petitioners 1 & 2 were released on bail in all the previous cases against them, and since further custody is not required for the purpose of interrogation, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.



Cr1.O.P.No.5863 of 2025

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail on their executing a separate bond for a sum of Rs. **15,000/-** (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only) each, with two sureties, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **VI Metropolitan Magistrate, Egmore**, and on further conditions that

- [a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;
- [b] **the petitioners shall report before the respondent Police, everyday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;**
- [c] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;
- [d] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;
- [e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];
- [f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S

03.03.2025

sai



WEB COPY

To

1.The VI Metropolitan Magistrate, Egmore

2.The Sub-Inspector of Police
CSCID Chennai North Unit
Chennai.

3.The Superintendent,
Central Prison, Puzhal, Chennai.

4.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



Cr1.O.P.No.5863 of 2025

SUNDER MOHAN, J.

sai

Cr1.O.P.No.5863 of 2025

03.03.2025