



W.P.No.17819 of 2025

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 06.06.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE Mr.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

W.P.No.17819 of 2025

R.Tamilarasi

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Chairman,
Tamil Nadu Electricity Board,
800, Anna Salai, Chennai – 600002.
2. The Superintendent Engineer,
Tamil Nadu Electricity Distribution Circle,
J.N.Road, Periyakuppam, Thiruvallur – 602001.
3. The Chief Engineer,
Tamil Nadu Electricity Board,
Anna Maligai, Olimohampet,
Kanchipuram – 631502.
4. The Chief Engineer,
Operation and Maintenance,
Power Distribution,
No.14H, Arakonam Main Road,
Tirutani – 631209.

5. Ravichandran

... Respondents



W.P.No.17819 of 2025

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India
praying to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents to dispose
the petitioner's representation dated 21.12.2024.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.J.Nissar Ahmed

For Respondents : Mr.David Sundar Singh,
Standing Counsel (for R1 to R4)

ORDER

The instant writ petition has been filed with a prayer for issuing a
Mandamus to direct the respondents to dispose the petitioner's representation
dated 21.12.2024.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the fifth
respondent is the wife of the petitioner, and he is working in the Tamil Nadu
Electricity Board and had submitted an application for voluntary retirement.
He would further submit that the fifth respondent was not properly
maintained by the petitioner. He also submits that in order to effectively
maintain the family, the income is very much essential. Therefore, before
considering the application of the petitioner's husband's voluntary retirement,
she also needs to be consulted.



W.P.No.17819 of 2025

WEB COPY

3. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Standing Counsel appearing for the first to fourth respondents and perused the materials available on record.

4. It is pertinent to mention here that the fifth respondent, being major, has been working in the Tamil Nadu Electricity Board as a regular employee. He is competent enough to take a decision either to continue or retire. Though the petitioner is entitled for maintenance in accordance with law, since because of the maintenance issue between both of them, she may not have any better right than the fifth respondent to object for voluntary retirement. It is further relevant to refer that in the personal dispute of the petitioner and the fifth respondent, the other official respondents cannot be made a party by filing this Writ Petition. In such view of the matter, this Court does not find any merits in this Writ Petition.

5. Accordingly, this Writ Petition is dismissed. No costs.

06.06.2025

kv

Index : Yes/No

Speaking order /Non Speaking Order

Neutral Citation : Yes/No



W.P.No.17819 of 2025

WEB COPY

To

1. The Chairman,
Tamil Nadu Electricity Board,
800, Anna Salai, Chennai – 600002.
2. The Superintendent Engineer,
Tamil Nadu Electricity Distribution Circle,
J.N.Road, Periyakuppam, Thiruvallur – 602001.
3. The Chief Engineer,
Tamil Nadu Electricity Board,
Anna Maligai, Olimohampet,
Kanchipuram – 631502.
4. The Chief Engineer,
Operation and Maintenance,
Power Distribution,
No.14H, Arakonam Main Road,
Tirutani – 631209.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.17819 of 2025

C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

kv

W.P.No.17819 of 2025

06.06.2025