



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.5530 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 28-02-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

CRL OP NO.5530 of 2025

Perumal

Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
PEW-Steel Plant Police Station,
Salem District.
(Crime No.977 of 2024).

Respondent(s)

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, pleaded to enlarge the petitioner on
anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest by the respondent police
concerned in Crime No.977 of 2024 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. W. Camyles Gandhi

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Leonard Arul Joseph Selvam,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)




ORDER

WEB COPY

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 4(1)(B) of TNP (Amendment Act), 2024 in Crime No.977 of 2024, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that, while the respondent police were conducting inspection near Thappukottai TASMAL shop, they found that some persons were consuming liquor in the petitioner's petty shop; that during inspection of the said shop, they found a 180 ml and two 90ml bottles of Black Pearl Brandy, which were illegally kept in the petitioner's shop. Hence, this case.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case; that the petitioner had never committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution; and that the petitioner is ready to produce solvent sureties and to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and also undertake to appear and to co-operate for the investigation, and prayed for




anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and on instruction submitted that the petitioner has 6 previous cases; that the contraband was seized; and that the investigation is pending, hence opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police and perused the materials available on record.

6. Taking note of the facts and circumstances of the case, considering the nature of allegation against the petitioner, submissions made by the learned counsels on either side, the fact that the petitioner is on bail in all the other cases and since custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.



WEB COPY

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before **the learned Judicial Magistrate - II, Sankagiri** on condition that the petitioner shall execute a separate bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each** for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police everyday at 10:30 a.m., until further orders.

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.



Crl.O.P.No.5530 of 2025

WEB COPY

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

28.02.2025

stn

To
The Inspector of Police,
PEW-Steel Plant Police Station,
Salem District.
(Crime No.977 of 2024).



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.5530 of 2025

SUNDER MOHAN, J.

stn

Crl.O.P. No.5530 of 2025

28.02.2025