



WEB COPY



W.P.No.21349 of 2016

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 18.12.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **HEMANT CHANDANGOUDAR**

W.P.No.21349 of 2016

Dr.K.Sadasivam
Joint Director (PHCs)
O/o. Director of Public Health and
Preventive Medicine
Chennai

... Petitioner

vs.

1. The Principal Secretary to Government
Health and Family Welfare Department
Secretariat, Fort St.George
Chennai-600 009.

2. The Director of Public Health and
Preventive Medicine
Chennai-6.

... Respondents

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking a Writ of Certiorari, to call for the records of the second respondent in R.No.01539/DA/03/S1 dated 27.06.2013 and the consequential order of the 1st respondent in G.O.(D) No.391 Health and Family Welfare (D1) Department dated 04.03.2016 and quash the same.

For Petitioner : Ms.B.Isainee
for Ms.V.Manohar

Page Nos.1/4



WEB COPY



W.P.No.21349 of 2016

For Respondents : Mr.E.Sundaram
Government Advocate

ORDER

The challenge in this writ petition is to the order dated 27.06.2013 passed by the second respondent, by which the punishment of Censure was imposed on the petitioner, while he was working as Joint Director of Public Health and Preventive Medicine.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the materials on record.

3. An enquiry was initiated against the petitioner on the allegation that he had failed to conduct the enquiry and submit the enquiry report within the stipulated time in respect of the disciplinary proceedings against one N. Bharathi. It was further alleged that he failed to hand over the relevant records required for the conduct of the enquiry and neither conducted the enquiry nor handed over the connected back files, records, and enquiry report.

4. The explanation submitted by the petitioner was found to be unsatisfactory. Consequently, the second respondent concluded that the



W.P.No.21349 of 2016

petitioner, being the delinquent officer, failed to conduct the enquiry within the stipulated time and also failed to hand over the relevant documents pertaining to the enquiry. There is no material on record to substantiate the claim of the petitioner that the documents were handed over.

5. In the absence of any perversity or illegality in the decision-making process, this Court finds no reason to interfere with the impugned order. Accordingly, the Captioned Writ Petition stands dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs.

18.12.2025

Index : Yes / No
Neutral Citation : Yes / No
Speaking / Non-speaking

mk

