



Crl.R.C.No.411 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 18.08.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE **G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN**

Crl.R.C.No.411 of 2025
and Crl.M.P.No.4526 of 2025

G.Thulasiram

... Petitioner

Vs

Vidhya Subhash

... Respondent


PRAYER: Criminal Revision Case is filed under Section 438 read with 442 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to allow this revision, call for the records pertaining to the order dated 20.12.2024 by the learned Metropolitan Magistrate Fast Track Court-V, Saidapet, Chennai in Crl.M.P.No.19919 of 2024 in CC.No.4180 of 2019 dismissing the petition filed u/s.231(2) of BNSS demanding the complainant Bank Manager, Canara Bank, K.K.Nagar, Branch, Chennai - 600 078 to produce the bank statements of complainant for the period (01/04/2014 to 31.03.2019).

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Thanigai Arasu

For Respondent : Mr.M.Sreedhar

ORDER

This Criminal Revision has been filed as against the order dated 20.12.2024 by the learned Metropolitan Magistrate Fast Track Court-V, Saidapet, Chennai in Crl.M.P.No.19919 of 2024 in CC.No.4180 of 2019,



thereby dismissing the petition filed under Section 231(2) of BNSS, 2023.

WEB COPY

2. Heard the learned counsel on either side and perused the materials available on record.

3. The petitioner is an accused in the complaint lodged by the respondent for the offence punishable under Section 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act. Pending trial, the respondent was examined as PW.1. During cross examination, the respondent was cross examined and elicited that so many payments were made by the petitioner on several dates and those details can be answered only after verification of account details. Therefore, the petitioner filed a petition under Section 231(2) of BNSS, 2023 to summon the respondent's Bank Manager to produce the statement of accounts of the respondent for the period from 01.04.2014 to 31.03.2019, in order to prove the payments made by the petitioner. However, it was dismissed, on the ground that already the petitioner filed a petition under Section 91 of Cr.P.C for production of statement of accounts for the very same period and the same was also dismissed. Once again, the petitioner filed a very same petition with the same prayer indirectly by way of summoning the Bank Manager.



Crl.R.C.No.411 of 2025

4. A perusal of the cross examination as stated supra, PW.1 categorically suggested that on several occasions so many payments were made in favour of the respondent for which PW.1 also admitted that only after verifying the Statement of Accounts, it can be answered. Therefore, the petitioner had rightly filed a petition to summon the Bank Manager of the respondent in order to disprove the complaint lodged by the respondent.

5. In view of the above, the impugned order cannot be sustained and is liable to be set aside. Accordingly, the order dated 20.12.2024 by the learned Metropolitan Magistrate Fast Track Court-V, Saidapet, Chennai in Crl.M.P.No.19919 of 2024 in CC.No.4180 of 2019 is hereby set aside. The petitioner is directed to pay the process fee to summon the respondent's Bank Manager to produce the Statement of Accounts from 01.04.2014 to 31.03.2019.

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Revision Case is allowed. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous petition is closed.

18.08.2025
(2/2)

Internet: Yes
Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non speaking order
mn



WEB COPY



Crl.R.C.No.411 of 2025

G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN. J.

mn

To

The Metropolitan Magistrate Fast Track Court -V,
Saidapet, Chennai.

Crl.R.C.No.411 of 2025

18.08.2025