



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.5092 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 26-02-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

CRL OP NO.5092 of 2025

Kanagaraj

Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Rep. by
The Inspector of Police ,
T-4, Madhuravoyal Police Station,
Chennai District
(Crime No.183 of 2025).

Respondent(s)

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, pleaded to enlarge the petitioner on
anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest by the respondent police
concerned in Crime No.183 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. R. Thamaraiselvan

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Leonard Arul Joseph Selvam,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.5092 of 2025



ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 232(1) and 351(4) of BNS in Crime No.183 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the defacto complainant is that, based on his complaint dated 25.01.2025, the accused persons were arrested; that thereafter, the defacto complainant is facing a continuous threat from the supporters of the arrested accused to withdraw the complaint against the accused. Hence, this case.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case; that the petitioner name is not mentioned in the FIR and he had not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution; and that the petitioner is ready to produce solvent sureties and to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and also undertake to appear and to co-operate for



the investigation, and prayed for anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and on instruction submitted that, it is the case of threatening; that the petitioner has no previous cases; and that the investigation is pending, hence opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police and perused the materials available on record.

6. Taking note of the facts and circumstances of the case, considering the nature of allegation against the petitioner, submissions made by the learned counsels on either side, the fact that the petitioner was not named in the FIR, the petitioner has no previous cases and since custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.5092 of 202



7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before **the learned Judicial Magistrate No.2 at Poonamallee** on condition that the petitioner shall execute a separate bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each** for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police on every Monday and Thursday at 10:30 a.m., until further orders.

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.5092 of 2025

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

26.02.2025

stn

To
The Inspector of Police ,
T-4, Madhuravoyal Police Station,
Chennai District
(Crime No.183 of 2025).



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.5092 of 2025

SUNDER MOHAN, J.

stn

Crl.O.P. No.5092 of 2025

26.02.2025