



WEB COPY



CRL O.P. No.5009 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Dated : 25.02.2025

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

CRL O.P. No.5009 of 2025

1. Rajiv Gandhi S/o. Vadivel
 2. Sathish Kumar S/o. Seenivasan
 3. Ramesh S/o. Arumugam
 4. Prakash S/o. Sivan
 5. Sennammal W/o. Arumugam
 6. Peruma W/o. Seenivasan
- ... Petitioners / Accused

Vs

State rep. by:-

The Inspector of Police,
Thiruppathur Taluk Police Station,
Thiruppathur District.
[Cr. No.52 of 2025]

... Respondent

PRAYER: - The Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 482 of B.N.S.S., praying to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners / Accused in Crime No.52 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.Narayana Prasadh
For Respondent : Mr. Leonard Arul Joseph Selvam,
Government Advocate
[Criminal side]

ORDER



CRL O.P. No.5009 of 2025

WEB COPY

The petitioners / Accused, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offence punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 118(1) and 351(3) of B.N.S. in connection with the case in Crime No.52 of 2025, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on account of previous enmity with respect to the property dispute, on 03.02.2025, word altercation arose between the parties; that both the parties abused each other in filthy language and assaulted with hands. Both the parties lodged complaints against each other.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners would contend that the that the allegations against the petitioners are false; that there is a counter case registered against the defacto complainant; and that in any case, custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not required and hence prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police, while opposing the grant of anticipatory bail to



CRL O.P. No.5009 of 2025

WEB COPY

the petitioners, reiterated the prosecution case and on instructions, submitted that there is a counter case pending against the defacto complainant pending in Cr. No.51 of 2025 ; that the injured has been discharged from the hospital; and that the petitioners have no bad antecedents.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) for the respondent police and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the nature of allegations, the fact that the injured was discharged from the hospital, the petitioners have no bad antecedents and since the custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not required for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners on certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned



CRL O.P. No.5009 of 2025

WEB COPY

Judicial Magistrate No.II, Thiruppathur on condition that the petitioners shall each execute a separate bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)**, with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or the Police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further conditions that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] **the petitioners 1 to 4 shall report before the respondent police everyday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders; and**

the petitioners 5 and 6 shall appear before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation;

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;



CRL O.P. No.5009 of 2025

WEB COPY

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]; and

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

25.02.2025

mjs

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Thiruppathur.
2. The Public Prosecutor, High Court, Madras.

5/7



CRL O.P. No.5009 of 2025

3.The Inspector of Police, Thiruppathur Taluk Police Station,
Thiruppathur District.

WEB COPY

SUNDER MOHAN. J.,

mjs



WEB COPY



CRL O.P. No.5009 of 2025

CRL O.P. No.5009 of 2025

25.02.2025